

STRUCTURE OF II_1 FACTORS ARISING FROM FREE BOGOLJUBOV ACTIONS OF ARBITRARY GROUPS

CYRIL HOUDAYER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate several structural properties for crossed product II_1 factors M arising from free Bogoljubov actions associated with orthogonal representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ of arbitrary countable discrete groups. Under fairly general assumptions on the orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$, we show that M does not have property Gamma of Murray and von Neumann. Then we show that any regular amenable subalgebra $A \subset M$ can be embedded into $L(G)$ inside M . Finally, when G is assumed to be amenable, we locate precisely any possible amenable or Gamma extension of $L(G)$ inside M .

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In classical probability theory, there is a well known construction that associates to any orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ of a countable discrete group G a probability measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (X_{\pi}, \mu_{\pi})$ on a standard probability space. This action is called the *Gaussian action* associated with the orthogonal representation π . By construction, the Koopman representation of the Gaussian action contains π as a subrepresentation (see [26, Appendix D]). For instance, when $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))$ is the left regular orthogonal representation, the Gaussian action $G \curvearrowright (X_{\lambda_G}, \mu_{\lambda_G})$ is nothing but the *Bernoulli shift* $G \curvearrowright ([0, 1]^G, \text{Leb}^G)$.

In the framework of his free probability theory, Voiculescu [48] introduced in the mid 80s the analogue of the Gaussian construction: the *free Gaussian functor* (see also [49, Chapter 2]). To any real Hilbert space $H_{\mathbf{R}}$, one associates a tracial von Neumann algebra, denoted by $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$, which is $*$ -isomorphic to the free group factor $L(\mathbf{F}_{\dim H_{\mathbf{R}}})$ on $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}}$ generators. Within this framework, the free group factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is generated by semicircular elements $W(e)$, $e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, which enjoy the following *freeness* property: whenever $(e_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is an orthogonal family in $H_{\mathbf{R}}$, the family of noncommutative random variables $(W(e_i))_{i \geq 1}$ is $*$ -free with respect to the canonical trace τ on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$. As we will see in Section 2, the semicircular elements $W(e)$ can be alternatively regarded as *words* of length one. To any orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ of any countable discrete group G corresponds a unique trace-preserving action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ called the *free Bogoljubov action* associated with the orthogonal representation π . The action σ_{π} satisfies the following relation:

$$\sigma_{\pi}(g)(W(e)) = W(\pi(g)e), \forall e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}, \forall g \in G.$$

We refer to Section 2 for more information on Voiculescu's free Gaussian functor. We will denote by $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ the tracial crossed product von Neumann algebra corresponding to the free Bogoljubov action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$. For instance, when $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))$ is the left regular orthogonal representation, the free Bogoljubov action $\sigma_{\lambda_G} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))''$ is nothing but the *free Bernoulli shift* $G \curvearrowright *_g \in G(L(\mathbf{Z}), \tau)$. In that case, we have that the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10; 46L54; 46L55; 22D25.

Key words and phrases. Free Gaussian functor; Cartan subalgebras; Deformation/rigidity theory; Asymptotic orthogonality property.

Research partially supported by ANR grants AGORA NT09-461407 and NEUMANN.

crossed product von Neumann algebra $\Gamma(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))'' \rtimes_{\lambda_G} G$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the free product von Neumann algebra $L(\mathbf{Z}) * L(G)$.

In this paper, we use Popa's deformation/rigidity theory [38, 46, 20] to investigate several structural properties for the crossed products II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ arising from free Bogoljubov actions of countable discrete groups. The first *rigidity* results for II_1 factors arising from free Bernoulli shifts of property (T) groups were obtained by Popa in [34], using his malleable deformation for the free group factors. In [21], Ioana, Peterson and Popa discovered a malleable deformation for amalgamated free product II_1 factors which they used to obtain rigidity results for such factors and calculate their symmetry groups.

Popa [39] discovered that in many previous arguments in deformation/rigidity theory, the property (T) condition could be removed and replaced by a *spectral gap* rigidity condition. This fundamental discovery lead to several *structural* results for II_1 factors arising from free probability theory. For instance, Popa [37] used his spectral gap rigidity principle to give another proof of Ozawa's result [28] showing that the free group factors are *solid*, that is, the relative commutant of any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra is amenable (we also refer to Peterson's work on L^2 -derivations [31] and its applications). Subsequently, Chifan and the author [2] used the malleable deformation from [21] together with Popa's principle [39] to obtain structural properties, such as *primeness*, for a large class of amalgamated free products factors (see also [18]). Ozawa and Popa [29] also used this spectral gap rigidity principle to prove that the free group factors are in fact *strongly solid*, that is, the normalizer of any diffuse amenable von Neumann subalgebra is amenable. This result strengthened both Voiculescu's result in [50] showing that the free group factors have no Cartan subalgebra and Ozawa's result in [28] showing that the free group factors are solid.

Recently, Shlyakhtenko and the author [17] obtained several structural results, such as *absence of Cartan subalgebra*, for the II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ arising from free Bogoljubov actions of *amenable* groups. The amenability of G was essential to ensure that the crossed product von Neumann algebra $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ has the complete metric approximation property [9] in order to use Ozawa-Popa's results [29]. For instance, it was proven in [17, Theorem B] that when the orthogonal representation $\pi : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is mixing, the crossed product II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} \mathbf{Z}$ is strongly solid. This alternatively gave new examples of strongly solid II_1 factors which are not $*$ -isomorphic to interpolated free group factors (see also [14]).

The aim of the paper is thus to generalize these previous results as well as to obtain new structural properties for the II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ arising from free Bogoljubov actions associated with orthogonal representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ of *arbitrary* countable discrete groups.

Property Gamma. Our first result deals with property Gamma of Murray and von Neumann [27]. Recall that a II_1 factor (M, τ) has *property Gamma* if there exists a net of unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\tau(u_n) = 0$ for all n and $\lim_n \|u_n y - y u_n\|_2 = 0$ for all $y \in M$. When M has separable predual, Connes' result [7, Corollary 3.8] shows that M does not have property Gamma if and only if the group of inner automorphisms $\text{Inn}(M)$ is closed in the group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(M)$. Observe that in that case, $\text{Out}(M) = \text{Aut}(M)/\text{Inn}(M)$ is a Polish group [7].

Let Q be a II_1 factor with separable predual which does not have property Gamma. Denote by $\Pi : \text{Aut}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Out}(Q)$ the quotient homomorphism. In [24, Theorem 1], Jones proved that whenever $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q)$ is a faithful action of a countable discrete group for which $\Pi(\sigma(G))$ is discrete in $\text{Out}(Q)$, then the crossed product II_1 factor $Q \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ does not have property Gamma.

Inspired by Jones' result, we find a sufficient condition on the orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ which ensures that the crossed product II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ does not have property Gamma.

Theorem A. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation such that $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$ and $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ with respect to the strong topology. Then $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ is a II_1 factor which does not have property Gamma.*

The proof of Theorem A (see Section 6) does not actually use Jones' result but rather a combination of words techniques involving the generators $W(e)$, $e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, and methods from Popa's seminal article [33] on maximal amenable subalgebras in II_1 factors. The key step (see Proposition 6.1) is to prove that when $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is an infinite dimensional orthogonal representation, then any central sequence of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ must asymptotically lie in $L(G)$.

When the group G is *abelian* and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a faithful orthogonal representation such that $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$, the sufficient condition in Theorem A is also necessary, that is, $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ is a II_1 factor which does not have property Gamma if and only if $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ with respect to the strong topology (see Corollary 6.2). Examples of orthogonal representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ for which $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ include the ones which contain a mixing subrepresentation.

Regular amenable subalgebras. Whenever $A \subset M$ is an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_M(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) : uAu^* = A\}$ the *normalizer* of A inside M . Recall that $A \subset M$ is a *Cartan subalgebra* if $A \subset M$ is maximal abelian and $\mathcal{N}_M(A)'' = M$.

In their breakthrough article [29], Ozawa and Popa obtained a remarkable dichotomy result for *compact* actions of free groups. Let $\mathbf{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a compact probability measure-preserving (pmp) action of the free group onto n generators ($n \geq 2$) on a standard probability space and put $M = L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes \mathbf{F}_n$. Ozawa and Popa [29] proved that whenever $A \subset M$ is an amenable von Neumann subalgebra, then either $A \preceq_M L^{\infty}(X)$ or $\mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ is amenable. We refer to Section 2 for Popa's intertwining techniques and the symbol $\preceq_M$. In particular, any compact free ergodic pmp action $\mathbf{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ gives rise to a II_1 factor $M = L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes \mathbf{F}_n$ with a unique Cartan decomposition, up to unitary conjugacy.

In a recent breakthrough paper [41], Popa and Vaes obtained a very general dichotomy result for *arbitrary* actions of free groups. Let $\mathbf{F}_n \curvearrowright (B, \tau)$ be an arbitrary trace-preserving action of $\mathbf{F}_n$ on a tracial von Neumann algebra (B, τ) and put $M = B \rtimes \mathbf{F}_n$. Popa and Vaes [41, Theorem 1.6] proved that whenever $A \subset M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to B inside M , then either $A \preceq_M B$ or $\mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ is amenable relative to B inside M . We refer to Section 2 for the notion of *relative amenability*. In particular, *any* free ergodic pmp action $\mathbf{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ gives rise to a II_1 factor $M = L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes \mathbf{F}_n$ with a unique Cartan decomposition, up to unitary conjugacy. We refer to [30, 17, 3, 4, 42, 18] for further results in these directions.

Very recently, Ioana [19] used a combination of Popa-Vaes' dichotomy result [41] together with new word techniques to study Cartan subalgebras in amalgamated free product von Neumann algebras. One of the most general results Ioana obtained (see [19, Theorem 1.6]) is the following. Let $M = M_1 *_B M_2$ be an arbitrary tracial amalgamated free product. Let $A \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to B inside M and $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ a free ultrafilter such that $\mathcal{N}_M(A)' \cap M^{\omega} = \mathbf{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ has "spectral gap" inside M . Then at least one of the following holds true:

- $A \preceq_M B$.

- $\mathcal{N}_M(A)'' \preceq_M M_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- $\mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ is amenable relative to B inside M .

In this paper, we use Ioana's ideas and results from [19] to prove the following general dichotomy result for free Bogoljubov actions of *arbitrary* countable discrete groups G . This theorem should be compared to Popa-Vaes' result [41, Theorem 1.6].

Theorem B. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Denote by $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra under the free Bogoljubov action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$. Let $p \in M$ be a nonzero projection and $A \subset pMp$ any von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M . Let $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Assume that $\mathcal{N}_{pMp}(A)' \cap pM^{\omega}p = \mathbf{C}p$.*

Then at least one of the following holds:

- $A \preceq_M L(G)$.
- $\mathcal{N}_{pMp}(A)''$ is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M .

Note that Theorem B generalizes the main result of [17]. Indeed, a similar result was proven in [17, Theorem 3.5] under the assumption that G is *amenable*.

The proof of Theorem B uses Ioana's original strategy and results [19] in the following way. To simplify, assume that $A \subset M$ is an amenable von Neumann subalgebra such that $P' \cap M^{\omega} = \mathbf{C}$ with $P = \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$. Assume that P is not amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M . Our aim is to show that $A \preceq_M L(G)$. We use Popa's malleable deformation (θ_t) on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi \oplus \pi} G$ arising from the *second quantization* of the one-parameter family of rotations on $H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}}$ that continuously map $H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus 0$ onto $0 \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}}$. The key observation is that we can regard the crossed product von Neumann algebra $\widetilde{M} = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi \oplus \pi} G$ as the amalgamated free product

$$(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) *_{L(G)} (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G),$$

where we identify M with the left copy of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ in the amalgamated free product. For $t > 0$ small enough, we now use Ioana's dichotomy result [19, Theorem 1.6] for the inclusion $\theta_t(A) \subset \widetilde{M}$ and obtain that necessarily $\theta_t(A) \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$. In Section 3, using word techniques involving the generators $W(e)$, $e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, we prove that this condition implies that $A \preceq_M L(G)$ (see Theorem 3.1).

The general dichotomy result obtained in Theorem B together with Theorem A allows us to obtain a new class of II_1 factors with no Cartan subalgebra.

Corollary C. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation such that $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$. Assume that $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ does not have property Gamma. If $A \subset M$ is a regular amenable subalgebra then $A \preceq_M L(G)$.*

Moreover, we have the following:

- (1) *If π contains a direct sum of at least two finite dimensional subrepresentations, then M has no Cartan subalgebra.*
- (2) *If π contains a mixing subrepresentation, then M has no diffuse amenable regular von Neumann subalgebra.*

Observe that in case the orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *reducible*, the first part of Corollary C can be directly deduced from Ioana's results (see [19, Theorem 1.3]). Indeed, if

$\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ and $H_{\mathbf{R}} = H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(1)} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(2)}$, then the crossed product II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ can be regarded as the amalgamated free product

$$\left(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(1)})'' \rtimes_{\pi_1} G \right) *_{L(G)} \left(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(2)})'' \rtimes_{\pi_2} G \right)$$

and so Ioana's results [19] can be applied. However, when $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *irreducible*, the II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ no longer splits as an amalgamated free product over $L(G)$ and so in that case, Corollary C cannot be deduced from Ioana's results.

In case the orthogonal representation π contains a *mixing* subrepresentation, a stronger result holds. This can be regarded as a *relative* strong solidity result and should be compared to [29, 41].

Theorem D. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation. Let $K_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is mixing. Put $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Then for any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset M$ which is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M , we have that $\mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ is amenable relative to N inside M .

Observe that like in [19, Corollary 1.7], the spectral gap condition on the normalizer is no longer needed in Theorem D.

Maximal amenable and maximal Gamma extensions. In his seminal article [33], Popa proved that the generator masa in a free group factor is maximal amenable. In fact, Popa showed [33, Lemma 2.1] that the generator masa in a free group factor satisfies the *asymptotic orthogonality property* (see Section 5 for further details). He then used this property to deduce that the generator masa is maximal amenable inside the free group factor (see [33, Corollary 3.3]).

In the recent paper [15], we gave new examples of maximal amenable masas in II_1 factors by proving that whenever G is an abelian group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a mixing orthogonal representation, then $L(G)$ is maximal amenable inside $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. This was done by showing that the inclusion $L(G) \subset \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ satisfies the asymptotic orthogonality property (see [15, Theorem 3.2]).

Very recently, Jesse Peterson asked us whether the maximal amenability of $L(G)$ inside the II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ could hold true under the more general assumption that $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *weakly mixing*. We give a positive answer to his question and furthermore we prove the following theorem which generalizes the main result of [15] and gives a new class of maximal amenable subalgebras in II_1 factors. We will say that an orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *compact* if π is a direct sum of finite dimensional orthogonal representations.

Theorem E. *Let G be any amenable countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation. Denote by $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ the unique closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is weakly mixing and $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is compact. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Then for any intermediate amenable von Neumann subalgebra $L(G) \subset P \subset M$, we have $P \subset N$.

In particular, if π is weakly mixing, then $L(G)$ is maximal amenable inside M .

As we will see in Section 8, Theorem E will be deduced from a very general result regarding the *relative asymptotic orthogonality property* of the inclusion $N \subset M$ (see Theorem 5.2).

Observe that the II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ may have property Gamma when π is weakly mixing. This phenomenon cannot happen when π is mixing by Theorem A. More generally, our last result below shows that when the group G is amenable and the orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ contains a mixing subrepresentation, one can locate precisely not only the amenable extensions of $L(G)$ inside $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ but also the Gamma extensions of $L(G)$ inside $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$, that is, the intermediate von Neumann subalgebras $L(G) \subset P \subset \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ which have property Gamma.

Theorem F. *Let G be any amenable countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation. Let $K_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is mixing. Put $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Then for any intermediate von Neumann subalgebra $L(G) \subset P \subset M$ which has property Gamma, we have $P \subset N$.

In particular, if N has property Gamma, then N is the unique maximal Gamma extension of $L(G)$ inside M .

Acknowledgments. The present work was initiated when the author was staying at the Banff International Research Station for the “Set Theory and Functional Analysis” workshop held in June 2012. He thanks the organizers for their invitation.

The author is very grateful to Jesse Peterson for asking him whether [15, Theorem 3.2] could hold true under a weak mixing assumption. He is also very grateful to Stefaan Vaes for useful discussions which motivated the further generalizations stated above as Theorems E and F.

The author finally thanks Adrian Ioana for explaining [19] to him and for useful discussions as well as Rémi Boutonnet and Stefaan Vaes for their valuable comments.

Notations. All the groups G that we consider in this paper are always assumed to be countable and discrete and the real Hilbert spaces $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ are always assumed to be separable. A *tracial* von Neumann algebra (M, τ) is a von Neumann algebra M endowed with a faithful normal tracial state τ . The uniform norm will be denoted by $\|x\|_{\infty}$ for all $x \in M$ while the L^2 -norm associated with τ will be denoted by $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$ for all $x \in M$. The unit ball of M with respect to the uniform norm will be denoted by $(M)_1$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Preliminaries	7
3. Intertwining subalgebras in II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$	12
4. (Weakly) mixing inclusions in II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$	16
5. Relative asymptotic orthogonality property	20
6. Central sequences in II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$	24
7. Regular amenable subalgebras in II_1 factors $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$	28
8. Maximal amenable and maximal Gamma extensions	32
9. Approximation properties for $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$	33
References	36

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. An elementary fact on ε -orthogonality.

Definition 2.1. Let $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, H a complex Hilbert space and $K, L \subset H$ closed subspaces. We say that K and L are ε -orthogonal and write $K \perp_\varepsilon L$ if

$$|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|\xi\| \|\eta\|, \forall \xi \in K, \forall \eta \in L.$$

Observe that when $K \perp_\varepsilon L$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$, we have that $K + L$ is closed. Define the function

$$\delta : (0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ : t \mapsto \frac{2t}{\sqrt{1-t} - \sqrt{2t}\sqrt{1-t}}.$$

The following elementary proposition was proven in [15, Proposition 2.3]. It will turn out to be useful for later purposes.

Proposition 2.2 ([15]). *Let $k \geq 1$. Let $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ such that $\delta^{\circ(k-1)}(\varepsilon) < 1$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^k$, let $p_i \in \mathbf{B}(H)$ be projections such that $p_i H \perp_\varepsilon p_j H$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2^k\}$ such that $i \neq j$. Write $P_\ell = \bigvee_{i=1}^{2^\ell} p_i$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Then for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and all $\xi \in H$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^\ell} \|p_i \xi\|^2 \leq \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (1 + \delta^{\circ j}(\varepsilon))^2 \|P_\ell \xi\|^2.$$

2.2. Popa's intertwining techniques. Let $Q \subset (M, \tau)$ be an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras. Jones' *basic construction* $\langle M, e_Q \rangle$ is the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}(L^2(M))$ generated by M and the orthogonal projection $e_Q : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(Q)$. Recall that if we denote by $\rho : Q^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(L^2(M))$ the right Q -action on $L^2(M)$, we have $\langle M, e_Q \rangle = \mathbf{B}(L^2(M)) \cap \rho(Q^{\text{op}})'$. The basic construction $\langle M, e_Q \rangle$ is endowed with a canonical semifinite faithful normal trace Tr which satisfies

$$\text{Tr}(xe_Q y) = \tau(xy), \forall x, y \in M.$$

In [35, 36], Popa discovered the following powerful method to unitarily conjugate subalgebras of a tracial von Neumann algebra. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $P \subset 1_P M 1_P$, $Q \subset 1_Q M 1_Q$ von Neumann subalgebras. By [35, Corollary 2.3] and [36, Theorem A.1] (see also [44, Proposition C.1]), the following conditions are equivalent:

- There exist $n \geq 1$, a projection $q \in \mathbf{M}_n(Q)$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \mathbf{M}_{1,n}(1_P M)q$ and a unital normal $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : P \rightarrow q\mathbf{M}_n(Q)q$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in P$.
- There exist projections $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in pMq$ and a unital normal $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : pPp \rightarrow qQq$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in P$.
- There is no net of unitaries (w_i) in P such that

$$\lim_i \|E_Q(x^* w_i y)\|_2 = 0, \forall x, y \in 1_P M 1_Q.$$

- There exists a nonzero P - Q -subbimodule of $1_P L^2(M, \tau) 1_Q$ that has finite dimension as a right Q -module.

If one of the previous equivalent conditions is satisfied, we say that A *embeds into B inside M* and write $A \preceq_M B$.

Following [25, 32], we say that an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras $Q \subset (M, \tau)$ has *finite index* if $L^2(M, \tau)$ has finite dimension as a right Q -module.

Remark 2.3. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $P \subset 1_P M 1_P$ and $Q \subset 1_Q M 1_Q$ von Neumann subalgebras. If $A \subset P$ is a von Neumann subalgebra with finite index and if $A \preceq_M Q$, then $P \preceq_M Q$ (see [45, Lemma 3.9]).

2.3. Relative amenability. Whenever $P \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an inclusion of von Neumann algebras, a positive functional φ on $\mathcal{N}$ is *P-central* if $\varphi(xT) = \varphi(Tx)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{N}$ and all $x \in P$.

Recall from [8] that a tracial von Neumann algebra (P, τ) is *amenable* if there exists a *P-central* state φ on $\mathbf{B}(L^2(P))$ such that $\varphi|_P = \tau|_P$. By Connes' celebrated result [8], a tracial von Neumann algebra P with separable predual is amenable if and only if it is hyperfinite.

Definition 2.4 ([29]). Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, $p \in M$ a nonzero projection and $P \subset pMp$, $Q \subset M$ von Neumann subalgebras. We say that P is *amenable relative to Q inside M* if there exists a *P-central* positive functional φ on $p\langle M, e_Q \rangle p$ such that $\varphi|_{pMp} = \tau|_{pMp}$.

By [29, Theorem 2.1], P is amenable relative to Q inside M if and only if there exists a net of vectors $\xi_n \in L^2(p\langle M, e_Q \rangle p, \text{Tr})$ such that $\lim_n \|y\xi_n - \xi_n y\|_{2, \text{Tr}} = 0$ for all $y \in P$ and $\lim_n \langle x\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in pMp$.

Remark 2.5. We will be using the following facts. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $P \subset pMp$ a von Neumann subalgebra.

- (1) If P is amenable relative to Q inside M and if $A \subset eMe$ is a von Neumann subalgebra which satisfies $A \preceq_M P$, then there exists a nonzero projection $f \in A' \cap eMe$ such that Af is amenable relative to Q inside M (see [22, Section 2.4]).
- (2) If P is amenable relative to Q inside M , and $e \in P$, $f \in P' \cap pMp$ are projections, then $ePef$ is amenable relative to Q inside M .
- (3) If Pp_1 is amenable relative to Q inside M for some nonzero projection $p_1 \in P' \cap pMp$, then Pp_2 is amenable relative to Q inside M with $p_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pMp)$ the central support of p_1 inside $P' \cap pMp$ (see [19, Remark 2.2]).

2.4. Voiculescu's free Gaussian functor. Let $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a separable real Hilbert space. Let $H = H_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} = H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus iH_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the corresponding complexified Hilbert space. The canonical complex conjugation on H will be simply denoted by $\overline{e + if} = e - if$ for all $e, f \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$. The *full Fock space* of H is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(H) = \mathbf{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{\otimes n}.$$

The unit vector Ω is called the *vacuum vector*. For all $e \in H$, we define the *left creation operator*

$$\ell(e) : \mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H) : \begin{cases} \ell(e)\Omega = e \\ \ell(e)(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = e \otimes e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n. \end{cases}$$

We have $\ell(e)^* \ell(f) = \langle e, f \rangle$ for all $e, f \in H$. In particular, $\ell(e)$ is an isometry for all unit vector $e \in H$.

For all $e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, put $W(e) = \ell(e) + \ell(e)^*$. Voiculescu's result [49, Lemma 2.6.3] shows that the distribution of the selfadjoint operator $W(e)$ with respect to the vacuum vector state $\langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ is the semicircular law supported by the interval $[-2\|e\|, 2\|e\|]$. Moreover, [49, Lemma 2.6.6] shows that for every subset $\Xi \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ of pairwise orthogonal vectors, the family $(W(e))_{e \in \Xi}$ is freely independent with respect to $\langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$.

We denote by $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ the C^* -algebra generated by $\{W(e) : e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}\}$ and $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})$. The vector state $\tau = \langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ is a faithful normal trace

on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ and we have that $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the free group factor onto $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}}$ generators, that is, $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \cong L(\mathbf{F}_{\dim H_{\mathbf{R}}})$.

Since the vacuum vector Ω is separating and cyclic for $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$, any $x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is uniquely determined by $\xi = x\Omega \in \mathcal{F}(H)$. Thus we will write $x = W(\xi)$. Note that for $e \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$, we recover the semicircular random variables $W(e) = \ell(e) + \ell(e)^*$ generating $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$. More generally we have $W(e) = \ell(e) + \ell(\bar{e})^*$ for all $e \in H$. Given any vectors $e_i \in H$, it is easy to check that $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ lies in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''\Omega$. The corresponding words $W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ enjoy useful properties that are summarized in the following.

Proposition 2.6 ([15]). *Let $e_i, f_j \in H$, for $i, j \geq 1$. The following are true:*

(1) *We have the Wick formula:*

$$W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_k) \ell(\bar{e}_{k+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_n)^*.$$

(2) *We have that $W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)W(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s)$ is equal to*

$$W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s) + \langle \bar{e}_r, f_1 \rangle W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r-1})W(f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s)$$

(3) *We have $W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)^* = W(\bar{e}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{e}_1)$.*

(4) *The linear span of $\{1, W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) : n \geq 1, e_i \in H\}$ forms a unital weakly dense $*$ -subalgebra of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$.*

Proof. The proof of (1) is borrowed from [16, Lemma 3.2]. We prove the formula by induction on n . For $n \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $W(\Omega) = 1$ and we already observed that $W(e_i) = \ell(e_i) + \ell(\bar{e}_i)^*$.

Next, for $e_0 \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(e_0)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)\Omega &= W(e_0)(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) \\ &= (\ell(e_0) + \ell(\bar{e}_0)^*)e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \\ &= e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n + \langle \bar{e}_0, e_1 \rangle e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W(e_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) &= W(e_0)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) - \langle \bar{e}_0, e_1 \rangle W(e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) \\ &= \ell(\bar{e}_0)^*W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) - \langle \bar{e}_0, e_1 \rangle W(e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) \\ &\quad + \ell(e_0)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption for n and $n-1$ and the relation $\ell(\bar{e}_0)^*\ell(e_1) = \langle \bar{e}_0, e_1 \rangle$, we obtain

$$\ell(\bar{e}_0)^*W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = \langle \bar{e}_0, e_1 \rangle W(e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) + \ell(\bar{e}_0)^*\ell(\bar{e}_1)^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_n)^*.$$

Since $\ell(e_0)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)$ gives the last $n+1$ terms in the Wick formula at order $n+1$ and $\ell(\bar{e}_0)^*\ell(\bar{e}_1)^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_n)^*$ gives the first term, we are done.

(2) By the Wick formula, we have that $W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)W(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq s} \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_j) \ell(\bar{e}_{j+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_r)^* \ell(f_1) \cdots \ell(f_k) \ell(\bar{f}_{k+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{f}_s)^*.$$

Recall that we have $\ell(\bar{e}_r)^* \ell(f_1) = \langle \bar{e}_r, f_1 \rangle$. Therefore the above sum simply equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_j) \ell(\bar{e}_{j+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_r)^* \ell(\bar{f}_1)^* \cdots \ell(\bar{f}_s)^* \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s} \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_r) \ell(f_1) \cdots \ell(f_k) \ell(\bar{f}_{k+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{f}_s)^* \right) \\ & + \langle \bar{e}_r, f_1 \rangle \sum_{0 \leq j \leq r-1, 1 \leq k \leq s} \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_j) \ell(\bar{e}_{j+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{e}_{r-1})^* \ell(f_2) \cdots \ell(f_k) \ell(\bar{f}_{k+1})^* \cdots \ell(\bar{f}_s)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r) W(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s)$ is equal to

$$W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s) + \langle \bar{e}_r, f_1 \rangle W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{r-1}) W(f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_s).$$

(3) It is a straightforward consequence of (1).

(4) It is a straightforward consequence of (3) using an induction procedure. $\square$

Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. We shall still denote by $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$ the corresponding unitary representation on the complexified Hilbert space $H = H_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$. The *free Bogoljubov action* $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}))''$ associated with the orthogonal representation π is defined by

$$\sigma_{\pi}(g) = \text{Ad}(\rho(g)), \forall g \in G,$$

where $\rho(g) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}\Omega} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \pi(g)^{\otimes n} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}(H))$. We will also sometimes more generally write $\mathcal{F}(U) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}\Omega} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} U^{\otimes n}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(H)$. Observe that we have

$$\sigma_{\pi}(g)(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)) = W(\pi(g)e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)e_n)$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all $e_i \in H$.

Example 2.7. If $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))$ is the left regular orthogonal representation of G , then the action $\sigma_{\lambda_G} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))''$ is the free Bernoulli shift and in that case we have

$$(\text{L}(G) \subset \Gamma(\ell_{\mathbf{R}}^2(G))'' \rtimes_{\lambda_G} G) \cong (\text{L}(G) \subset \text{L}(\mathbf{Z}) * \text{L}(G)).$$

Recall that an orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *mixing* if $\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Proposition 2.8 ([15]). *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is mixing.*
- (2) *The τ -preserving action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is mixing, that is,*

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\sigma_{\pi}(g)(x)y) = 0, \forall x, y \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \ominus \mathbf{C}.$$

Finally, recall from [17, Theorem 5.1] that whenever the orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is faithful, the associated free Bogoljubov action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is *properly outer*, that is, $\sigma_{\pi}(g) \notin \text{Inn}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})')$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. In that case, we have

$$\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})' \cap (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})' \cap \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' = \mathbf{C}$$

and so $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ is a II_1 factor.

2.5. The malleable deformation on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put

- $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$.
- $\widetilde{M} = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi \oplus \pi} G$.

We can regard $\widetilde{M}$ as the amalgamated free product

$$\widetilde{M} = (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) *_{\text{L}(G)} (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G)$$

where we identify M with the left copy of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ inside the amalgamated free product. Consider the following orthogonal transformations on $H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}}$:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t) & -\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \\ \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) & \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \end{pmatrix}, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Define the associated deformation (θ_t, β) on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ by

$$\theta_t = \text{Ad}(\mathcal{F}(U_t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \text{Ad}(\mathcal{F}(V)).$$

Since U_t and V commute with $\pi \oplus \pi$, it follows that α_t and β commute with the diagonal action $\sigma_{\pi} * \sigma_{\pi}$. We can then extend the deformation (θ_t, β) to $\widetilde{M}$ by letting $\theta_t|_{\text{L}(G)} = \beta|_{\text{L}(G)} = \text{id}$. Moreover it is easy to check that the deformation (θ_t, β) is *malleable* in the sense of Popa:

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|x - \theta_t(x)\|_2 = 0, \forall x \in \widetilde{M}$.
- (2) $\beta^2 = \text{id}$ and $\theta_t \beta = \beta \theta_{-t}, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$.

For $0 < \rho \leq 1$, denote by $\text{m}_{\rho} : M \rightarrow M$ the trace-preserving unital completely positive multiplier which satisfies

$$\text{m}_{\rho}(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)u_g) = \rho^n W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)u_g.$$

With $\rho_t = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t)$, a straightforward calculation yields $E_M \circ \theta_t = \text{m}_{\rho_t}$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. In this respect, $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a *dilation* of the one-parameter family $(\text{m}_{\rho_t})_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ of unital completely positive maps on M .

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_n = H^{\otimes n}$ the closed linear in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 1$. By convention, denote $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbf{C}\Omega$. We have

$$\text{L}^2(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)).$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $t \in [-1, 1]$, $x \in M$ and write $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n$ where $x_n \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)$. The following hold:*

- (1) $\tau(\theta_t(x)x^*) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \rho_t^n \|x_n\|_2^2$.
- (2) $\frac{1}{2} \|x - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2 \leq \|(E_M \circ \theta_t)(x) - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2$.

Proof. For (1), observe that $\tau(\theta_t(x)x^*) = \tau(E_M(\theta_t(x))x^*) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \rho_t^n \|x_n\|_2^2$.

For (2), observe that

$$\|(E_M \circ \theta_t)(x) - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2 = \|x\|_2^2 - \|(E_M \circ \theta_t)(x)\|_2^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (1 - \rho_t^{2n}) \|x_n\|_2^2$$

and

$$\|x - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2 = 2(\|x\|_2^2 - \Re \tau(\theta_t(x)x^*)) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (1 - \rho_t^n) \|x_n\|_2^2.$$

Since $0 \leq \rho_t \leq 1$ for all $t \in [-1, 1]$, we obtain $\frac{1}{2} \|x - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2 \leq \|(E_M \circ \theta_t)(x) - \theta_t(x)\|_2^2$. $\square$

We say that a von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ is (θ_t) -rigid if (θ_t) converges to id in $\|\cdot\|_2$ uniformly on the unit ball $(P)_1$. The next theorem shows that any (θ_t) -rigid von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ can be embedded into $L(G)$ inside $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $p \in M$ be a non-zero projection. Let $P \subset pMp$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and assume that there exist $c > 0$ and $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\tau(\theta_t(u)u^*) \geq c, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(P).$$

Then $P \preceq_M L(G)$.

Proof. Let $c > 0$ and $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ such that $\tau(\theta_t(u)u^*) \geq c$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$. By Proposition 2.9, we have that $t \mapsto \tau(\theta_t(x)x^*)$ is an even function which is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ for all $x \in M$. We can find $n \in \mathbf{N}$ large enough so that $2^{-n} \leq |t|$. Thus $\tau(\theta_{2^{-n}}(u)u^*) \geq \tau(\theta_t(u)u^*) \geq c$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$. Now the rest of the proof is entirely identical to the one of [16, Theorem 4.3] (see also [12, Theorem 5.2]) and leads to $P \preceq_M L(G)$. $\square$

3. INTERTWINING SUBALGEBRAS IN II_1 FACTORS $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$

We keep the same notation as in Section 2.5. The aim of this section is to prove the following intertwining theorem for subalgebras of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ which is inspired by [19, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $p \in M$ be a nonzero projection and $P \subset pMp$ a von Neumann subalgebra. Let $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ such that $\theta_t(P) \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$. Then $P \preceq_M L(G)$.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 relies on the following convergence result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ and a net $x_k \in (M)_1$ such that $\lim_k \tau(\theta_t(x_k)x_k^*) = 0$. Then*

$$\lim_k \|E_M(a\theta_t(x_k)b)\|_2 = 0, \forall a, b \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1 using Theorem 3.2. Assume $P \not\preceq_M L(G)$. Let $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$. By Theorem 2.10, there exists a net of unitaries $u_k \in \mathcal{U}(P)$ such that $\lim_k \tau(\theta_t(u_k)u_k^*) = 0$. By Theorem 3.2, we get $\lim_k \|E_M(a\theta_t(u_k)b)\|_2 = 0$ for all $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$, whence $\theta_t(P) \not\preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 relies on the following technical result. As usual $H = H_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ denotes the complexified space of $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ and put $\rho(g) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}\Omega} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \pi(g)^{\otimes n}$ for all $g \in G$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(H)$ the full Fock space of H .

Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. We will identify $L^2(M)$ with $\mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ and denote by $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ the conjugation defined by $\mathcal{J}\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$\mathcal{J}(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_1 \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $e_i \in H$ and all $g \in G$.

Likewise, put $\widetilde{M} = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. We will identify $L^2(\widetilde{M})$ with $\mathcal{F}(H \oplus H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ and denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{F}(H \oplus H) \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H \oplus H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ the conjugation defined by $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_1 \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $e_i \in H \oplus H$ and all $g \in G$.

We view $M \subset \widetilde{M}$ by identifying M with $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus 0)'' \rtimes_{\pi \oplus \pi} G$ inside $\widetilde{M}$. We will denote by $E_M : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ the trace-preserving conditional expectation as well as the orthogonal projection $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow L^2(M)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_n = H^{\otimes n}$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 1$. By convention, denote $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbf{C}\Omega$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$. Assume that*

- $a = 1$ or $a = W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r)$ is a word of length $r \geq 1$ in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ with letters ξ_i in $H \oplus 0$ or $0 \oplus H$ and such that $\xi_1 \in 0 \oplus H$.
- $b = 1$ or $b = W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s)$ is a word of length $s \geq 1$ in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ with letters η_j in $H \oplus 0$ or $0 \oplus H$ and such that $\eta_s \in 0 \oplus H$.

Put $\kappa_n = \sup \left\{ \|E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^*\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(\zeta))\|_2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G), \|\zeta\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = 0$.

Proof. We may and will assume that $\|\xi_i\| = \|\eta_j\| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Fix $\mathcal{B} = \{e_i : i \geq 1\}$ an orthonormal basis for H . Then

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} : i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_n$. Whenever $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)$, write $\zeta = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, g \in G} \zeta_{w,g} w \otimes \delta_g$ with $\zeta_{w,g} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, g \in G} |\zeta_{w,g}|^2 = \|\zeta\|_2^2$.

We assume that $n \geq r + s + 1$. Fix now $g \in G$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}_n$ that we write $w = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1$. We have $\theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g) = U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n} \otimes \delta_g$. We have

$$a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^*\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g) = W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r)W(U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n})W(\pi(g)\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g.$$

Applying repeatedly Proposition 2.6, we have that $a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^*\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r \otimes U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n} \otimes \pi(g)\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g \\ & + \langle \bar{\xi}_r, U_t e_{i_1} \rangle W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{r-1})W(U_t e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n} \otimes \pi(g)\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g \\ & + \langle U_t \bar{e}_{i_n}, \pi(g)\eta_1 \rangle W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r \otimes U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_{n-1}})W(\pi(g)\eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g \\ & + \langle \bar{\xi}_r, U_t e_{i_1} \rangle \langle U_t \bar{e}_{i_n}, \pi(g)\eta_1 \rangle W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{r-1})W(U_t e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_{n-1}})W(\pi(g)\eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g. \end{aligned}$$

Applying repeatedly Proposition 2.6 and using the facts that $\eta_s \in 0 \oplus H$ and $n \geq r + 1$, we have

$$E_M(W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{r-1})W(U_t e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n} \otimes \pi(g)\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s))\Omega \otimes \delta_g = 0$$

Likewise, applying repeatedly Proposition 2.6 and using the facts that $\xi_1 \in 0 \oplus H$ and $n \geq s + 1$, we have

$$E_M(W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r \otimes U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_{n-1}})W(\pi(g)\eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g) = 0.$$

Moreover, since $\xi_1, \eta_s \in 0 \oplus H$, we have

$$E_M(W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r \otimes U_t e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t e_{i_n} \otimes \pi(g)\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\eta_s)\Omega \otimes \delta_g) = 0.$$

Repeating this procedure by induction and using again repeatedly Proposition 2.6, we finally obtain that

$$E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^*\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g)) = \rho_t^{n-r-s} \prod_{k=1}^r \langle \bar{\xi}_{r-k+1}, U_t e_{i_k} \rangle \prod_{l=1}^s \langle U_t \bar{e}_{i_{n-l+1}}, \pi(g)\eta_l \rangle e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n-s}} \otimes \delta_g,$$

with $\rho_t = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t)$. Observe that this formula is still valid when $a = 1$, that is $r = 0$, or $b = 1$, that is, $s = 0$. This shows in particular that

$$(1) \quad E_M \left(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t (\mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)) \right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-r-s} \otimes \ell^2(G).$$

Whenever $w \in \mathcal{B}_n$, denote by $\mathcal{T}(w) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}$ the word obtained by removing the first r letters and the last s letters from w . In other words, if $w = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \in \mathcal{B}_n$, we have $\mathcal{T}(w) = e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n-s}} \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}$. What we have shown before can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g)) &= \rho_t^{n-r-s} \prod_{k=1}^r \langle U_t^* \bar{\xi}_{r-k+1}, e_{i_k} \rangle \prod_{l=1}^s \langle U_t^* \pi(g) \bar{\eta}_l, e_{i_{n-l+1}} \rangle \mathcal{T}(w) \otimes \delta_g \\ &= \rho_t^{n-r-s} \langle U_t^* \bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t^* \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes U_t^* \pi(g) \bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t^* \pi(g) \bar{\eta}_1, u \rangle \mathcal{T}(w) \otimes \delta_g \end{aligned}$$

with $u = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{n-s+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \in \mathcal{B}_{r+s}$.

Recall that

$$\zeta = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, g \in G} \zeta_{w,g} w \otimes \delta_g = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}} \left(\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{T}(w)=v} \zeta_{w,g} w \otimes \delta_g \right).$$

Observe that for every $v \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}$, there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{B}_{r+s}$ and $\{w \in \mathcal{B}_n : \mathcal{T}(w) = v\}$ via the map $\iota_v : \mathcal{B}_{r+s} \rightarrow \{w \in \mathcal{B}_n : \mathcal{T}(w) = v\}$ defined by

$$\iota_v(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{n-s+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}) = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes v \otimes e_{i_{n-s+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}.$$

We have that $\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{T}(w)=v} \zeta_{w,g} E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g))$ is equal to

$$\rho_t^{n-r-s} \left(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{r+s}} \zeta_{\iota_v(u),g} \langle U_t^* \bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t^* \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes U_t^* \pi(g) \bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes U_t^* \pi(g) \bar{\eta}_1, u \rangle \right) v \otimes \delta_g.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{T}(w)=v} \zeta_{w,g} E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g)) \right\|_2^2 \leq \rho_t^{2(n-r-s)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{r+s}} |\zeta_{\iota_v(u),g}|^2.$$

Altogether, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(\zeta))\|_2^2 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}} \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{T}(w)=v} \zeta_{w,g} E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(w \otimes \delta_g)) \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \rho_t^{2(n-r-s)} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r-s}} \left(\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{T}(w)=v} |\zeta_{w,g}|^2 \right) \\ &= \rho_t^{2(n-r-s)} \|\zeta\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\kappa_n = \sup \left\{ \|E_M(a \tilde{\mathcal{J}} b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \theta_t(\zeta))\|_2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G), \|\zeta\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}$. We get $\kappa_n \leq \rho_t^{n-r-s}$. Since $t \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, we have $0 \leq \rho_t < 1$, whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_t^{n-r-s} = 0$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = 0$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2 using Lemma 3.3. Observe that using a combination of Proposition 2.6 and Kaplansky's density theorem, it suffices to show that $\lim_k \|E_M(a \theta_t(x_k) b)\|_2 = 0$ for:

- $a = 1$ or $a = W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r)$ a word of length $r \geq 1$ in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ with letters ξ_i in $H \oplus 0$ or $0 \oplus H$ and such that $\xi_1 \in 0 \oplus H$.
- $b = 1$ or $b = W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s)$ a word of length $s \geq 1$ in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ with letters η_j in $H \oplus 0$ or $0 \oplus H$ and such that $\eta_s \in 0 \oplus H$.

Write $x_k = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_{k,n}$ with $x_{k,n} \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)$. We then have $\tau(\theta_t(x_k)x_k^*) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \rho_t^n \|x_{k,n}\|_2^2$. Since $\lim_k \tau(\theta_t(x_k)x_k^*) = 0$ and $0 < \rho_t < 1$, we get $\lim_k \|x_{k,n}\|_2 = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Put

$$\kappa_n = \sup \left\{ \|E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(\zeta))\|_2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G), \|\zeta\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Recall that by (1) in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$E_M \left(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(\mathcal{H}_n \otimes \ell^2(G)) \right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-r-s} \otimes \ell^2(G)$$

for all $n \geq r+s+1$. This implies that for every k , the vectors $\left(E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right)_{n \geq r+s+1}$ are pairwise orthogonal in $L^2(M)$.

For all k , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_M(a\theta_t(x_k)b)\|_2^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n \leq r+s} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) + \sum_{n \geq r+s+1} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \sum_{n \leq r+s} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \left\| \sum_{n \geq r+s+1} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= 2 \left\| \sum_{n \leq r+s} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{n \geq r+s+1} \|E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n}))\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \sum_{n \leq r+s} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{n \geq r+s+1} \kappa_n^2 \|x_{k,n}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = 0$ by Lemma 3.3, there exists $n_0 \geq r+s+1$ such that $\kappa_n \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Since moreover $\lim_k \|x_{k,n}\|_2 = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$2 \left\| \sum_{n \leq r+s} E_M(a\tilde{\mathcal{J}}b^* \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\theta_t(x_{k,n})) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{r+s+1 \leq n \leq n_0-1} \kappa_n^2 \|x_{k,n}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}.$$

For all $k \geq k_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_M(a\theta_t(x_k)b)\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + 2 \sum_{n \geq n_0} \kappa_n^2 \|x_{k,n}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \sum_{n \geq n_0} \|x_{k,n}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|x_k\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\lim_k \|E_M(a\theta_t(x_k)b)\|_2 = 0$ and finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4. (WEAKLY) MIXING INCLUSIONS IN II_1 FACTORS $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$

Let $P \subset Q$ be an inclusion of von Neumann algebras. Following [36, Section 1.4.2], the *quasi-normalizer of P inside Q* , denoted by $\mathcal{QN}_Q(P)$, is the set of all $x \in Q$ for which there exist $y_1, \dots, y_k \in Q$ such that

$$xP \subset \sum_{i=1}^k Py_i \quad \text{and} \quad Px \subset \sum_{i=1}^k y_i P.$$

One checks that $\mathcal{QN}_Q(P)$ is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of Q such that $P \vee (P' \cap Q) \subset \mathcal{QN}_Q(P)$. We say that P is *quasi-regular inside Q* if $\mathcal{QN}_Q(P)'' = Q$. Moreover by [35, Lemma 3.5], for all projections $p \in P$ and $q \in P' \cap Q$, we have $pq\mathcal{QN}_Q(P)''pq = \mathcal{QN}_{pqQpq}(pPqp)''$.

4.1. Weakly mixing inclusions in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. The following definition is due to Popa and Vaes (see [40, Definition 6.13]).

Definition 4.1. Let $A \subset N \subset (M, \tau)$ be tracial von Neumann algebras. We say that the inclusion $N \subset M$ is *weakly mixing through A* if there exists a net of unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\lim_n \|E_N(xu_n y)\|_2 = 0, \forall x, y \in M \ominus N.$$

The following result will be useful in order to prove Theorem E. Recall that an orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *compact* if π is the direct sum of finite dimensional orthogonal representations.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Denote by $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ the unique closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is weakly mixing and $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is compact. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Then the inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through $L(G)$.

Proof. As usual, we denote by H (resp. K) the complexified Hilbert space of $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ (resp. $K_{\mathbf{R}}$). We may and will assume that $K_{\mathbf{R}} \neq 0$.

Since π_K is weakly mixing, there exists a sequence $g_n \in G$ such that $\lim_n \langle \pi(g_n)\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in K$. Observe that by Kaplansky's density theorem, in order to show that the inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through $L(G)$, it suffices to show that $\lim_n \|E_N(xu_{g_n}y)\|_2 = 0$ for all $x, y \in M \ominus N$ words of the form $x = W(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_r)$ and $y = W(\eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_s)$ with $r, s \geq 1$, letters ξ_i, η_j in K or $H \ominus K$ and $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$.

Applying repeatedly Proposition 2.6 and since $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_N(xu_{g_n}y) &= E_N(W(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_r)u_{g_n}W(\eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_s)) \\ &= E_N(W(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_r)W(\pi(g_n)\eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi(g_n)\eta_s))u_{g_n} \\ &= \delta_{r=s} \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \bar{\xi}_{r-i+1}, \pi(g_n)\eta_i \rangle u_{g_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$, we have $\lim_n \langle \bar{\xi}_1, \pi(g_n)\eta_s \rangle = 0$, whence $\lim_n \|E_N(xu_{g_n}y)\|_2 = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Denote by $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ the unique closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is weakly mixing and $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is compact. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Whenever $x \in M$ satisfies $L(G)x \subset \sum_{i=1}^k y_i N$ for some finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \subset M$, then $x \in N$. In particular, $\mathcal{QN}_M(L(G))'' \subset N$.

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 4.2 and [40, Proposition 6.14]. $\square$

4.2. Mixing inclusions in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. This next definition is motivated by Popa's result [35, Theorem 3.1] (see also [19, Definition 9.1]).

Definition 4.4. Let $B \subset (M, \tau)$ be tracial von Neumann algebras. We say that the inclusion $B \subset M$ is *mixing* if whenever $b_n \in (B)_1$ is a net such that $b_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we have

$$\lim_n \|E_B(xb_ny)\|_2 = 0, \forall x, y \in M \ominus B.$$

If $G \curvearrowright (B, \tau)$ is a trace-preserving mixing action of a countable discrete group on a tracial von Neumann algebra, then the inclusion $L(G) \subset B \rtimes G$ is mixing. For other examples, we refer to [19, Section 9.3] and the references therein.

Remark 4.5. Let $B \subset (M, \tau)$ be a mixing inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras.

- (1) For all $k \geq 1$ and all projections $p \in \mathbf{M}_k(B)$, the inclusion $p\mathbf{M}_k(B)p \subset p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p$ is mixing.
- (2) Let $A \subset B$ be any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra. Then the inclusion $B \subset M$ is weakly mixing through A .

The aim of this section is to prove the following result that will be needed in the proofs of Theorems D and F.

Proposition 4.6. Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Let $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is mixing. Put $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.

Then the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing.

When $K_{\mathbf{R}} = H_{\mathbf{R}}$, we have $L(G) = N$ and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is mixing, whence the inclusion $L(G) \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 2.8. We may assume that $K_{\mathbf{R}} \neq H_{\mathbf{R}}$. The proof of Proposition 4.6 relies on the following technical result. As usual $H = H_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ denotes the complexified space of $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ and put $\rho(g) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}\mathcal{O}} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \pi(g)^{\otimes n}$ for all $g \in G$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(H)$ the full Fock space of H .

Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. We will identify $L^2(M)$ with $\mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ and denote by $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ the conjugation defined by $\mathcal{J}\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$\mathcal{J}(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_n \otimes \dots \otimes \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_1 \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $e_i \in H$ and all $g \in G$.

We will denote by $E_N : M \rightarrow N$ the trace-preserving conditional expectation as well as the orthogonal projection $L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(N)$. Observe that $\mathcal{J}E_N = E_N\mathcal{J}$.

Lemma 4.7. Assume that $a, b \in M \ominus N$ are words of the form $a = W(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_r)$ and $b = W(\eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_s)$ with $r, s \geq 1$, letters ξ_i, η_j in K or $H \ominus K$ and such that $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$. We moreover assume that $\|\xi_i\| = \|\eta_j\| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Then we have

$$\sup \{ \|E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}\zeta)\|_2 : \zeta \in \mathcal{F}(H \ominus K), \|\zeta\|_2 \leq 1 \} \leq \min\{r, s\} |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \eta_s \rangle|.$$

Proof. Put $L_{\mathbf{R}} = H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}$, $L = H \ominus K$ and $\pi_L = \pi|_{L_{\mathbf{R}}}$. We have $N = \Gamma(L_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_L} G$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_n = L^{\otimes n}$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(L)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 1$. By convention, denote $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathbf{C}\Omega$.

Fix $\mathcal{B} = \{e_i : i \geq 1\}$ an orthonormal basis for L . Then

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} : i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}_n$. Whenever $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ write $\zeta = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_n$ with $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$ and $\zeta_n = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n} \zeta_{n,w} w$ with $\zeta_{n,w} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n} |\zeta_{n,w}|^2 = \|\zeta\|_2^2.$$

(1) We first assume that $r \geq s$.

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}_n$ that we write $w = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}$. We have

$$a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w = W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r)W(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n})W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s)\Omega.$$

Using repeatedly Proposition 2.6 together with facts that $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$ and that $e_i \in L$, we have the following. If $n \leq r - s - 1$ or $n \geq r + s - 1$ then $E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) = 0$.

If $n = r - s + 2\ell$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq s - 1$, then we obtain

$$E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \langle \bar{e}_{i_{n-j+1}}, \eta_j \rangle \prod_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \langle \bar{\xi}_{r-k+1}, e_{i_k} \rangle \prod_{k=n-\ell+1}^r \langle \bar{\xi}_{r-k+1}, \eta_{k-(r-s)} \rangle \Omega.$$

Put $\kappa_\ell = \prod_{k=n-\ell+1}^r \langle \bar{\xi}_{r-k+1}, \eta_{k-(r-s)} \rangle$ and observe that κ_ℓ does not depend on $w \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Moreover $|\kappa_\ell| \leq |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \eta_s \rangle|$. Then $E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w)$ equals

$$\kappa_\ell \langle \bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_{r-n+\ell+1} \otimes \bar{\eta}_\ell \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1, e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n-\ell}} \otimes e_{i_{n-\ell+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \rangle \Omega.$$

This can be rewritten

$$E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) = \kappa_\ell \langle \bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_{r-n+\ell+1} \otimes \bar{\eta}_\ell \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1, w \rangle \Omega.$$

Observe in particular that $E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) \in \mathbf{C}\Omega$, whence $E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}\zeta) \in \mathbf{C}\Omega$. We moreover have that $\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} \zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w} E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w)$ is equal to

$$\kappa_\ell \left(\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} \zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w} \langle \bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_{s-\ell+1} \otimes \bar{\eta}_\ell \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1, w \rangle \right) \Omega.$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} \zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w} E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) \right\|_2^2 &\leq |\kappa_\ell|^2 \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} |\zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w}|^2 \\ &\leq |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \eta_s \rangle|^2 \|\zeta\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}\zeta)\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_n} \zeta_{n,w} E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) \right\|_2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} \zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w} E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{r-s+2\ell}} \zeta_{r-s+2\ell,w} E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}w) \right\|_2 \\
&\leq s |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \eta_s \rangle| \|\zeta\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) We now assume that $s \geq r + 1$. We have

$$E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}\zeta) = \mathcal{J}E_N(\mathcal{J}a\mathcal{J}b^*(\mathcal{J}\zeta)) = \mathcal{J}E_N(b^*\mathcal{J}(a^*)^*\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}\zeta))$$

with $b^* = W(\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1)$ and $a^* = W(\bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_1)$. By the first part of the proof, we get

$$\|E_N(a\mathcal{J}b^*\mathcal{J}\zeta)\|_2 \leq r |\langle \eta_s, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle| \|\zeta\|_2 = r |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \eta_s \rangle| \|\zeta\|_2.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.7. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Let $b_n \in (N)_1$ be a net such that $b_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Write $b_n = \sum_{g \in G} (b_n)^g u_g$ for the Fourier expansion of $b_n \in N$ with respect to the crossed product decomposition $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. Since $(b_n)^g = E_{\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})''}(b_n u_g^*)$, we have that $(b_n)^g \rightarrow 0$ weakly for all $g \in G$.

Observe that in order to prove the result, using a combination of Proposition 2.6 and Kaplansky's density theorem, it suffices to prove that $\lim_n \|E_N(xb_n y)\|_2 = 0$ for all $x, y \in M \ominus N$ words of the form $x = W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r)$ and $y = W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s)$ with $r, s \geq 1$, letters ξ_i, η_j in K or $H \ominus K$ and such that $\xi_1, \eta_s \in K$. We may moreover assume that $\|\xi_i\| = \|\eta_j\| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since π_K is mixing, choose a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ such that $|\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \pi(g)\eta_s \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2 \min\{r, s\}^2}$ for all $g \in G \setminus \mathcal{F}$. Using Lemma 4.7 for each $g \in G \setminus \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_N(xb_n y)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 + \sum_{g \in G \setminus \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 + \min\{r, s\}^2 \sum_{g \in G \setminus \mathcal{F}} |\langle \bar{\xi}_1, \pi(g)\eta_s \rangle|^2 \|(b_n)^g\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \sum_{g \in G \setminus \mathcal{F}} \|(b_n)^g\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Lemma 4.7, we know that for all $g \in G$, $E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y)) \in \mathbf{C}1$. Thus, $E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y)) = \tau(E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y)))1 = \tau(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))1$. Since $(b_n)^g \rightarrow 0$ weakly

for all $g \in G$ and since $\mathcal{F}$ is finite, we can choose n_0 large enough such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|E_N(x(b_n)^g \sigma_\pi(g)(y))\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}.$$

Consequently, we obtain $\|E_N(xb_n y)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.6. $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Let $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is mixing. Put $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Let $e \in M$ be a nonzero projection and $A \subset eMe$ a diffuse subalgebra such that $A \preceq_M N$. Then $\mathcal{QN}_{eMe}(A)'' \preceq_M N$.

Proof. Since $A \preceq_M N$, there exist $k \geq 1$, a projection $p \in \mathbf{M}_k(N)$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \mathbf{M}_{1,k}(eM)p$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow p\mathbf{M}_k(N)p$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Observe that $vv^* \in A' \cap eMe$ and $v^*v \in \varphi(A)' \cap p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p$. We moreover have

$$v^* \mathcal{QN}_{eMe}(A)'' v \subset \mathcal{QN}_{v^*v\mathbf{M}_k(M)p}(\varphi(A)v^*v)'' = v^*v \mathcal{QN}_{p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p}(\varphi(A))'' v^*v.$$

Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6, the inclusion $p\mathbf{M}_k(N)p \subset p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p$ is mixing as well. Since $\varphi(A)$ is diffuse, the inclusion $p\mathbf{M}_k(N)p \subset p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p$ is weakly mixing through $\varphi(A)$. By [40, Proposition 6.14], we get $v^*v \in \mathbf{M}_k(N)$ and

$$v^*v \mathcal{QN}_{p\mathbf{M}_k(M)p}(\varphi(A))'' v^*v \subset v^*v \mathbf{M}_k(N) v^*v.$$

Therefore, we have $v^* \mathcal{QN}_{eMe}(A)'' v \subset v^*v \mathbf{M}_k(N) v^*v$, whence $\mathcal{QN}_{eMe}(A)'' \preceq_M N$. $\square$

5. RELATIVE ASYMPTOTIC ORTHOGONALITY PROPERTY

In his seminal article [33], Popa proved that the generator masa $A \subset M$ in a free group factor $M = L(\mathbf{F}_n)$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfies the *asymptotic orthogonality property*, that is, for all $x, y \in (M^\omega \ominus A^\omega) \cap A'$ and all $a, b \in M \ominus A$, the vectors ax and yb are orthogonal in $L^2(M^\omega)$ (see [33, Lemma 2.1]). He then used this property to deduce that the generator masa is maximal amenable inside the free group factor (see [33, Corollary 3.3]).

We will need the following *relative* notion of asymptotic orthogonality property.

Definition 5.1. Let $A \subset N \subset (M, \tau)$ be tracial von Neumann algebras. Let $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. We say that the inclusion $N \subset M$ has the *asymptotic orthogonality property relative to A* if for all $x, y \in (M^\omega \ominus N^\omega) \cap A'$ and all $a, b \in M \ominus N$ we have that the vectors ax and yb are orthogonal in $L^2(M^\omega)$.

The main technical result of this section is the following generalization of [15, Theorem 3.2]. In the initial version of the present paper, Theorem 5.2 was stated under the additional assumption that G is abelian. I am very grateful to Rémi Boutonnet for kindly pointing out to me that the proof of Theorem 5.2 could be slightly modified to show that Theorem 5.2 holds for any countable discrete group G .

Recall that an orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is *compact* if π is a direct sum of finite dimensional orthogonal representations.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Denote by $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ the unique closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is weakly mixing and $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is compact. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$.*

Then the inclusion $N \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to $L(G)$.

Proof. The proof is a further generalization of the proof of [15, Theorem 3.2]. Denote as usual by H (resp. K) the complexified Hilbert space of $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ (resp. $K_{\mathbf{R}}$). The complex conjugation on H is simply denoted by $e \mapsto \bar{e}$. The corresponding unitary representation will still be denoted by $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$. The full Fock space of H is defined by $\mathcal{F}(H) = \mathbf{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{\otimes n}$ and the Koopman representation of the free Bogoljubov action $\sigma_{\pi} : G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is given by $\rho(g) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}\Omega} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \pi(g)^{\otimes n}$ for all $g \in G$.

Put $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$, $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. We may and will assume that $K_{\mathbf{R}} \neq 0$. We will identify $L^2(M)$ with $\mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$. Recall that the conjugation $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ is defined by $\mathcal{J}\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$\mathcal{J}(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)^* \bar{e}_1 \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $e_i \in H$ and all $g \in G$.

Since the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$ implement the free Bogoljubov action σ_{π} , we still denote by $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(M))$ the unitary representation defined by $\rho(g) = u_g \mathcal{J} u_g \mathcal{J}$.

We will be using the following notation throughout. Let $L \subset H$ be any closed subspace such that $L = \bar{L}$, that is, L is stable under complex conjugation.

- Denote by $\mathcal{X}(L)$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 1$ and such that $e_1 \in L$.
- For $h \in G$, denote by $\mathcal{Y}_h(L)$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 1$ and such that $e_n \in \pi(h)L$.
- Put $\mathcal{X}(L) = \mathcal{X}(L) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(L) = \bigoplus_{h \in G} (\mathcal{Y}_h(L) \otimes \mathbf{C}\delta_h)$. Observe that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}(L) = \mathcal{Y}(L)$.

Step 1. Let $L \subset K$ be any finite dimensional subspace such that $L = \bar{L}$. Let $x = (x_n) \in (M^{\omega} \ominus N^{\omega}) \cap L(G)'$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})''$ words of the following form:

- $w_1 = 1$ or $w_1 = W(\zeta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_r)$ with $r \geq 1$ and letters $\zeta_i \in H \ominus K$.
- $w_2 = 1$ or $w_2 = W(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_s)$ with $s \geq 1$ and letters $\mu_j \in H \ominus K$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{X}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2)\|_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{Y}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2)\|_2 = 0.$$

Proof of Step 1. Observe that it suffices to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{Y}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2)\|_2 = 0$ for all $x = (x_n) \in (M^{\omega} \ominus N^{\omega}) \cap L(G)'$ and all words $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})''$ as in the statement. Indeed, assume that it is true. Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{Y}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2)\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}(L)}(\mathcal{J}(w_2^* x_n^* w_1^*))\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\mathcal{J}P_{\mathcal{X}(L)}(w_2^* x_n^* w_1^*)\|_2.$$

Since $w_2^* = 1$ or $w_2^* = W(\bar{\mu}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\mu}_1)$ and $w_1^* = 1$ or $w_1^* = W(\bar{\zeta}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\zeta}_1)$ and since $(x_n^*) \in (M^{\omega} \ominus N^{\omega}) \cap L(G)'$, we will get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{Y}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2)\|_2 = 0$.

Write $w_1 = W(\zeta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_r) \in N$ and $w_2 = W(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_s) \in N$ with $\zeta_i, \mu_j \in H \ominus K$. We will put $w_1 = 1$ if $r = 0$ and $w_2 = 1$ if $s = 0$ and we will put $w_1 = w_2 = 1$ if $K = H$. We may and will assume that $x = (x_n) \in (M^{\omega} \ominus N^{\omega}) \cap L(G)'$ satisfies $\sup_n \|x_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $x_n \in M \ominus N$ for

all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Write $x_n = \sum_{h \in G} (x_n)^h u_h$ for the Fourier expansion of $x_n \in M$ with respect to the crossed product decomposition $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes G$.

We use the following notation. Let $L \subset K$ be any closed subspace such that $L = \overline{L}$.

- Denote by $\mathcal{H}(r, L)$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq r + 1$ and such that $e_1, \dots, e_r \in H \ominus K$ and $e_{r+1} \in L$.
- Put $\mathcal{H}(r, L) = \mathcal{H}(r, L) \otimes \ell^2(G)$.

By convention, we put $\mathcal{H}(r, L) = \mathcal{X}(L)$ if $r = 0$ or $K = H$. Observe that for all $g \in G$, $\rho(g)\mathcal{H}(r, L) = \mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)$ and $\rho(g)\mathcal{H}(r, L) = \mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)$.

From now on, we assume that $L \subset K$ is finite dimensional and $L = \overline{L}$. We have $w_1 x_n w_2 = \sum_{h \in G} W(\zeta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_r)(x_n)^h W(\pi(h)\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(h)\mu_s) u_h$. Then using repeatedly Proposition 2.6, we have

$$(2) \quad P_{\mathcal{X}(L)}(w_1 x_n w_2) = P_{\mathcal{X}(L)}(w_1 \mathcal{J} w_2^* \mathcal{J} P_{\mathcal{H}(r, L)}(x_n)).$$

For all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all $g \in G$, we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\rho(g)P_{\mathcal{H}(r, L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 &= \|\rho(g)P_{\mathcal{H}(r, L)}(x_n) - P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n) + P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2\|\rho(g)P_{\mathcal{H}(r, L)}(x_n) - P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 + 2\|P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 \\ &= 2\|P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(u_g x_n u_g^* - x_n)\|_2^2 + 2\|P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2\|u_g x_n u_g^* - x_n\|_2^2 + 2\|P_{\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\ell \geq 1$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ very small such that $\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (1 + \delta^{\circ j}(\varepsilon))^2 \leq 2$ where

$$\delta : (0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} : t \mapsto \frac{2t}{\sqrt{1-t} - \sqrt{2}t\sqrt{1-t}}$$

is the function which appeared in Section 2.1. Since π_K is weakly mixing and $L \subset K$ is a finite dimensional subspace, by induction, we can find a sequence $e = g_1, \dots, g_{2^\ell}$ of pairwise distinct elements in G with the property that

$$\pi(g_j)L \perp_{\varepsilon/\dim L} \pi(g_i)L, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 2^\ell.$$

This yields

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g_j)L) \perp_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g_i)L), \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 2^\ell.$$

Indeed, this can be deduced from the following:

Claim. For all $g \in G$ and all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that $\pi(g)L \perp_{\varepsilon/\dim L} L$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L) \perp_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{H}(r, L).$$

Proof of the Claim. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_r$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq r$ and such that $e_1, \dots, e_r \in H \ominus K$. By convention, we put $\mathcal{H}_r = \mathcal{F}(H)$ if $r = 0$ or $K = H$.

Let $(e_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $H \ominus K$ and $(f_j)_{j \geq 1}$ an orthonormal basis for K such that $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq \dim L}$ is an orthonormal basis for L . Define the unitary operator $U : K \otimes \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r, K)$ by the formula

$$U(f_j \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes \xi \otimes \delta_h) = e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes f_j \otimes \xi \otimes \delta_h.$$

Observe that $U\rho(g) = \rho(g)U$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $g \in G$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that $\pi(g)L \perp_{\varepsilon/\dim L} L$. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}(r, L)$. Write $U^*\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim L} f_i \otimes \xi_i$ and $U^*\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L} f_j \otimes \eta_j$ with $\xi_i, \eta_j \in \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \ell^2(G)$ such that $\|\xi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim L} \|\xi_i\|^2$ and $\|\eta\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L} \|\eta_j\|^2$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \rho(g)\xi, \eta \rangle| &= |\langle U^*\rho(g)\xi, U^*\eta \rangle| = |\langle \rho(g)U^*\xi, U^*\eta \rangle| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim L} |\langle \pi(g)f_i, f_j \rangle| |\langle \rho(g)\xi_i, \eta_j \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\dim L} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim L} \|\xi_i\| \|\eta_j\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\xi\| \|\eta\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\rho(g)\mathcal{H}(r, L) \perp_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{H}(r, L)$, that is, $\mathcal{H}(r, \pi(g)L) \perp_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{H}(r, L)$. $\square$

Therefore, using Proposition 2.2 and the above (3) and (4), for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2^\ell \|P_{\mathcal{H}(r,L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^\ell} \|\rho(g_i)P_{\mathcal{H}(r,L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^\ell} (2\|u_{g_i}x_nu_{g_i}^* - x_n\|_2^2 + 2\|P_{\mathcal{H}(r,\pi(g_i)L)}(x_n)\|_2^2) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^\ell} \|u_{g_i}x_nu_{g_i}^* - x_n\|_2^2 + 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (1 + \delta^{\circ j}(\varepsilon))^2 \|x_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^\ell} \|u_{g_i}x_nu_{g_i}^* - x_n\|_2^2 + 4\|x_n\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{H}(r,L)}(x_n)\|_2^2 \leq 2^{2-\ell}$. Since this is true for every $\ell \geq 1$, we finally get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{H}(r,L)}(x_n)\|_2 = 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|P_{\mathcal{H}(L)}(w_1x_nw_2)\|_2 = 0$ by (2). This finishes the proof of Step 1. $\square$

Step 2. The inclusion $N \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to $L(G)$.

Proof of Step 2. Observe that in order to show that $N \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to $L(G)$, using a standard density argument together with Proposition 2.6, it suffices to show that $ax \perp yb$ in $L^2(M^\omega)$ for all $x, y \in (M^\omega \ominus N^\omega) \cap L(G)'$ and all $a, b \in M \ominus N$ of the form $a = w_1 W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g w_2$ and $b = w_3 W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s) w_4$ with w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 words in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})''$ as in the statement of Step 1, $r, s \geq 1$, ξ_i, η_j letters in K or $H \ominus K$, $\xi_1, \xi_r, \eta_1, \eta_s \in K$ and $g \in G$. There are two cases to consider:

(1) Assume first that $r \geq s$.

Denote by $L \subset K$ the finite dimensional subspace generated by $\xi_1, \pi(g)^*\xi_r, \eta_1, \eta_s \in K$ and such that $L = \overline{L}$.

For any closed subspaces $L_1, L_2 \subset K$ such that $L_1 = \overline{L_1}$ and $L_2 = \overline{L_2}$, denote by $\mathcal{Z}_h(L_1, L_2)$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq 2$ and such that $e_1 \in H \ominus L_1$ and $e_n \in H \ominus \pi(h)L_2$. Put $\mathcal{Z}(L_1, L_2) = \bigoplus_{h \in G} (\mathcal{Z}_h(L_1, L_2) \otimes \mathbf{C}\delta_h)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle ax, yb \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle w_1 W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g w_2 x_n y_n w_3 W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s) w_4 \rangle_{L^2(M)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g w_2 x_n w_4^*, w_1^* y_n w_3 W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s) \rangle_{L^2(M)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $L \subset K$ is finite dimensional, Step 1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|w_2 x_n w_4^* - P_{((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) \oplus \mathcal{Z}(L, L)}(w_2 x_n w_4^*)\|_2 &= 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|w_1^* y_n w_3 - P_{((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) \oplus \mathcal{Z}(L, L)}(w_1^* y_n w_3)\|_2 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $u_g((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) = (H \ominus \pi(g)L) \otimes \ell^2(G)$ and $u_g \mathcal{Z}(L, L) = \mathcal{Z}(\pi(g)L, L)$.

Then Proposition 2.6 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) \oplus \mathcal{Z}(L, L) &\perp \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1) \mathcal{J} \mathcal{Z}(L, L) \\ \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1) \mathcal{J}((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) \oplus \mathcal{Z}(L, L) &\perp W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g \mathcal{Z}(L, L).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle ax, yb \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)}$ is equal to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g P_{(H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)}(w_2 x_n w_4^*), \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1) \mathcal{J}P_{(H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)}(w_1^* y_n w_3) \rangle.$$

Since $r \geq s$, another application of Proposition 2.6 yields

$$\mathcal{J}W(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_s) \mathcal{J}W(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_r) u_g((H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G)) \perp (H \ominus L) \otimes \ell^2(G).$$

Therefore $\langle ax, yb \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = 0$.

(2) Assume now that $s \geq r+1$. Denote by $\mathcal{J}^\omega$ the canonical conjugation on $L^2(M^\omega, \tau_\omega)$ defined by $\mathcal{J}^\omega v = v^*$ for all $v \in M^\omega$. We have

$$\langle ax, yb \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = \langle \mathcal{J}^\omega(yb), \mathcal{J}^\omega(ax) \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = \langle b^* y^*, x^* a^* \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)}.$$

We have $x^*, y^* \in (M^\omega \ominus N^\omega) \cap L(G)'$, $b^* = w_4^* W(\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\eta}_1) w_3^*$ and $a^* = w_2^* u_g^* W(\bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_1) w_1^*$. Put $c = \sigma_\pi(g)(w_4^*) W(\pi(g)\bar{\eta}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi(g)\bar{\eta}_1) u_g w_3^*$ and $d = \sigma_\pi(g)(w_2^*) W(\bar{\xi}_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\xi}_1) w_1^*$. We obtain

$$\langle b^* y^*, x^* a^* \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = \langle cy^*, x^* d \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)}.$$

By the first case, we get $\langle cy^*, x^* d \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = 0$, whence $\langle ax, yb \rangle_{L^2(M^\omega)} = 0$. $\square$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.2. $\square$

6. CENTRAL SEQUENCES IN II_1 FACTORS $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$

6.1. Property Gamma. The aim of this section is to prove Theorem A. To do so, we first start by locating central sequences in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$: when $\dim(H_{\mathbf{R}}) = \infty$, any central sequence in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ must asymptotically lie in $L(G)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any infinite dimensional orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$. Then for every free ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$, we have $M' \cap M^\omega \subset L(G)^\omega$.*

Proof. There are two cases to consider. Assume first that the representation π is *reducible* and write $\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ and $H_{\mathbf{R}} = H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(1)} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(2)}$. Then we have that M can be written as the amalgamated free product

$$M = \left(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(1)})'' \rtimes_{\pi_1} G \right) *_{L(G)} \left(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(2)})'' \rtimes_{\pi_2} G \right).$$

Since $\dim \pi_i \geq 1$, we have that $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(i)})''$ is diffuse. An application of [19, Lemma 6.1] yields $M' \cap M^\omega \subset L(G)^\omega$.

Assume now that the representation π is *irreducible*. Since π is also infinite dimensional, it follows that π is weakly mixing. We keep the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Let $x = (x_n) \in M' \cap M^\omega$ and write $y = x - E_{L(G)^\omega}(x)$. Observe that $y = (y_n) \in (M^\omega \ominus L(G)^\omega) \cap L(G)'$ with $y_n = x_n - E_{L(G)}(x_n)$. For any closed subspace $L \subset K$ and any $r \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_r(L)$ the closed linear span in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of all the words $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n$ of length $n \geq r$ and such that $e_1 \in L$.

Fix a nonzero vector $\xi \in H$. We have

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|y_n - P_{\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)}(y_n)\|_2 = 0$$

by Step 1 in Theorem 5.2. Using Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\xi) (\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)) &\subset \mathcal{X}_2(\mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G) \\ \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J} (\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)) &\subset (\mathbf{C}\Omega \oplus \mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi)) \otimes \ell^2(G). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} W(\xi) (\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)) &\perp H \otimes \ell^2(G) \\ W(\xi) (\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)) &\perp \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J} (\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)). \end{aligned}$$

For all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\xi)x_n - x_n W(\xi) &= W(\xi)(E_{L(G)}(x_n) + y_n) - \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J}(E_{L(G)}(x_n) + y_n) \\ &= (W(\xi)E_{L(G)}(x_n) - \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J}E_{L(G)}(x_n) - \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J}y_n) + W(\xi)y_n \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|W(\xi)x_n - x_n W(\xi)\|_2 = 0$, a combination of (5) and (6) yields

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|W(\xi)y_n\|_2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|W(\xi)E_{L(G)}(x_n) - \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J}E_{L(G)}(x_n) - \mathcal{J}W(\bar{\xi})\mathcal{J}y_n\|_2 = 0.$$

Proposition 2.6 yields $\|W(\xi)P_{\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)}(y_n)\|_2 = \|\xi\| \|P_{\mathcal{X}_1(H \ominus \mathbf{C}\xi) \otimes \ell^2(G)}(y_n)\|_2$. By (5) and (7), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|y_n\|_2 = 0$, whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n - E_{L(G)}(x_n)\|_2 = 0$. This shows that $M' \cap M^\omega \subset L(G)^\omega$ and finishes the proof of Proposition 6.1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A. Assume first that $\dim(H_{\mathbf{R}}) < \infty$. Since $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a compact group and $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$, it follows that $\pi(G)$ is finite, whence G is finite since π is faithful. Then $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \subset \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors. Since $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ does not have property Gamma, $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ does not have property Gamma either by [32, Proposition 1.11].

Assume now that $\dim(H_{\mathbf{R}}) = \infty$ and put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $x = (x_n) \in M' \cap M^\omega$. Since $M' \cap M^\omega \subset L(G)^\omega$ by Proposition 6.1, we may assume that $x_n \in L(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|yx_n - x_n y\|_2 = 0$ for all $y \in M$. Observe that since $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$, we have that $\sigma_{\pi}(G)$ is discrete in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'')$. Moreover, since $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is faithful, we have that $\sigma_{\pi} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'')$ is faithful.

Therefore, there exist $\kappa > 0$ and $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ such that the open neighborhood of id in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'')$ defined by $\mathcal{V}(y_1, \dots, y_k, \kappa) = \{\theta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'') : \sum_{i=1}^k \|\theta(y_i) - y_i\|_2^2 < \kappa\}$ satisfies $\sigma_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{V}(y_1, \dots, y_k, \kappa) = \{\text{id}\}$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|\sigma_\pi(g)(y_i) - y_i\|_2^2 \geq \kappa, \forall g \in G \setminus \{e\}.$$

Write $x_n = \sum_{g \in G} (x_n)^g u_g$ for the Fourier expansion of x_n in $L(G)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i x_n - x_n y_i\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |(x_n)^g|^2 \|y_i - \sigma_\pi(g)(y_i)\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |(x_n)^g|^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i - \sigma_\pi(g)(y_i)\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \kappa \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} |(x_n)^g|^2 = \kappa \|x_n - \tau(x_n)1\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i x_n - x_n y_i\|_2^2 = 0$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n - \tau(x_n)1\|_2 = 0$. Therefore M does not have property Gamma. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let G be any abelian countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any faithful orthogonal representation such that $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$.*

Then $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ is a II_1 factor which does not have property Gamma if and only if $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ with respect to the strong topology.

Proof. Assume $\pi(G)$ is not discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ with respect to the strong topology. Let $g_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$ be a sequence such that $\pi(g_n) \rightarrow 1$ strongly. Then $\sigma_\pi(g_n) \rightarrow \text{id}$ in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'')$, that is, $\lim_n \|u_{g_n} x u_{g_n}^* - x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$. Since G is abelian, (u_{g_n}) is a central sequence in $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ with $\tau(u_{g_n}) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ has property Gamma. $\square$

Observe that whenever a faithful orthogonal representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ contains a mixing subrepresentation, then $\pi(G)$ is discrete in $\mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$. Indeed, let $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is mixing. Let $(g_n)_n$ be a sequence in G such that $\pi(g_n) \rightarrow 1$ strongly. We have in particular $\lim_n \|\pi(g_n)\xi - \xi\| = 0$ for all $\xi \in K_{\mathbf{R}}$. We claim that $\{g_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is finite. Otherwise, we can find a subsequence $(g_{n_k})_k$ such that $g_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ in G . By the mixing property of π , we get $\lim_k \|\pi(g_{n_k})\xi - \xi\| = 2\|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in K_{\mathbf{R}}$, which is a contradiction. Since $\{g_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is finite and $\pi(g_n) \rightarrow 1$ strongly and π is faithful, we obtain that $g_n = e$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ large enough.

Proposition 6.1 provides another sufficient condition which ensures that the crossed product II_1 factor $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ does not have property Gamma.

Corollary 6.3. *Let G be any countable discrete group such that $L(G)$ does not have property Gamma and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any infinite dimensional orthogonal representation. Then $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ does not have property Gamma.*

Proof. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$. By Proposition 6.1, we have

$$M' \cap M^\omega = M' \cap L(G)^\omega \subset L(G)' \cap L(G)^\omega.$$

Since $L(G)$ does not have property Gamma, $L(G)' \cap L(G)^\omega$ has a minimal projection, whence M does not have property Gamma. $\square$

Remark 6.4. In case the group G is not inner amenable, Corollary 6.3 is a particular case of a more general phenomenon. Indeed, any trace-preserving action $G \curvearrowright Q$ of such a group G on a II_1 factor Q which does not have property Gamma gives rise to a crossed product II_1 factor $Q \rtimes G$ which does not have property Gamma either (see [5, Corollary]).

We mention that recently, Vaes [47] discovered an inner amenable group G with infinite conjugacy classes for which $L(G)$ does not have property Gamma.

6.2. Spectral gap rigidity. In this section, we use Popa's spectral gap rigidity principle [39] (see also [31, Theorem 4.3]) to prove that the malleable deformation (θ_t) introduced in Section 2.5 must converge uniformly on the unit ball of the relative commutant of *large* subalgebras of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$.

We keep the same notation as in Section 2.5. For every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, denote by (θ_t^{ω}) the unique one-parameter family of $*$ -isomorphisms $\theta_t^{\omega} : M^{\omega} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{\omega}$ such that $\theta_t^{\omega}((x_n)) = (\theta_t(x_n))$ for all $(x_n) \in M^{\omega}$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $p \in M$ be a nonzero projection. Let $P \subset pMp$ be a von Neumann subalgebra. Then at least one of the following holds true:*

- *There exists a nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pMp)$ such that Pz is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M .*
- *The deformation (θ_t^{ω}) converges uniformly to id in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(P' \cap pM^{\omega}p)_1$.*

Proof. Assume that the deformation (θ_t^{ω}) does not converge uniformly to id in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(P' \cap pM^{\omega}p)_1$. Then there exist $c > 0$, a sequence (t_k) of reals such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = 0$ and a sequence of elements (y_k) in $(P' \cap pM^{\omega}p)_1$ such that $\inf_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \|y_k - \theta_{t_k}^{\omega}(y_k)\|_2 > c$. Write $y_k = (y_{k,n}) \in (P' \cap pM^{\omega}p)_1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|by_{k,n} - y_{k,n}b\|_2 = 0$ for all $b \in P$ and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let I be the directed set of all $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset (P)_1$ finite subset. Let $i = (\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon) \in I$. Choose $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|a - \theta_{t_k}(a)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/3$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Then choose $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|y_{k,n} - \theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n})\|_2 \geq c$ and $\|ay_{k,n} - y_{k,n}a\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/3$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Put $\xi_i := \theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n}) - (E_M \circ \theta_{t_k})(y_{k,n}) \in L^2(\widetilde{M} \ominus M)$. By Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\|\xi_i\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|y_{k,n} - \theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n})\|_2 \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For all $x \in M$, we have

$$\|x\xi_i\|_2 = \|(1 - E_M)(x\theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n}))\|_2 \leq \|x\theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n})\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2.$$

By Popa's spectral gap argument [39], for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\xi_i - \xi_i a\|_2 &= \|(1 - E_M)(a\theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n}) - \theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n})a)\|_2 \leq \|a\theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n}) - \theta_{t_k}(y_{k,n})a\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|a - \theta_{t_k}(a)\|_2 + \|ay_{k,n} - y_{k,n}a\|_2 \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{M} = M *_L(G) (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G)$, we have $L^2(\widetilde{M} \ominus M) = L^2(M) \otimes_{L(G)} \mathcal{K}$ for some $L(G)$ - M -bimodule $\mathcal{K}$. By [19, Lemma 2.3], there exists a nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pMp)$ such that Pz is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M . $\square$

A straightforward combination of Theorems 2.10 and 6.5 yields the following:

Corollary 6.6. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$. Let $p \in M$ be a nonzero projection and $P \subset pMp$ a von Neumann subalgebra. Then at least one of the following holds true:*

- There exists a nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pMp)$ such that Pz is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M .
- $P' \cap pMp \preceq_M L(G)$.

7. REGULAR AMENABLE SUBALGEBRAS IN II_1 FACTORS $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem B, Corollary C and Theorem D.

Before proving Theorem B, we will need the following result which is a particular case of [19, Theorem 6.3] and a generalization of [2, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 7.1. *Let (N, τ_1) and (N, τ_2) be tracial von Neumann algebras with a common von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset N_i$ such that $\tau_1|_B = \tau_2|_B$. Denote by $N = N_1 *_B N_2$ the tracial amalgamated free product. Let $p \in N_1$ be a nonzero projection and $P \subset pN_1p$ a von Neumann subalgebra such that Pz is not amenable relative to B inside N_1 for all nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pN_1p)$. Let $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a free ultrafilter.*

Then $P' \cap pN^{\omega}p \subset pN_1^{\omega}p$.

Proof. Assume that $P' \cap pN^{\omega}p \not\subset pN_1^{\omega}p$. Let $x \in (P' \cap pN^{\omega}p)_1$ such that $x \notin pN_1^{\omega}p$ and put $y = x - E_{pN_1^{\omega}p}(x)$. Write $y = (y_n)$ with $y_n \in p(N \ominus N_1)p$, $\sup_n \|y_n\|_{\infty} \leq 2$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|by_n - y_nb\|_2 = 0$ for all $b \in P$. We may assume that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that $\|y_n\|_2 \geq \kappa$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let I be the directed set of all $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset (P)_1$ finite subset. Let $i = (\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon) \in I$. Choose $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|by_n - y_nb\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for all $b \in \mathcal{F}$ and put $\xi_i := y_n$. Moreover, for all $x \in N_1$ and all $i \in I$, we have $\|x\xi_i\|_2 = \|xy_n\|_2 \leq 2\|x\|_2$.

Since $N = N_1 *_B N_2$, we have $L^2(N \ominus N_1) = L^2(N_1) \otimes_B \mathcal{K}$ for some B - N_1 -bimodule $\mathcal{K}$. By [19, Lemma 2.3], there exists a nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap pN_1p)$ such that Pz is amenable relative to B inside N_1 . $\square$

Proof of Theorem B. Let $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $p \in M$ a nonzero projection. Let $A \subset pMp$ be a von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M and denote $P = \mathcal{N}_{pMp}(A)''$. We assume that $P' \cap pM^{\omega}p = \mathbf{C}p$. In particular, $P' \cap pMp = \mathbf{C}p$. We moreover assume that P is not amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M . Our aim is then to show that $A \preceq_M L(G)$.

Put

$$\widetilde{M} = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi \oplus \pi} G = (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) *_{L(G)} (\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G).$$

We identify M with the left copy of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $\theta_1(M)$ with the right copy of $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ inside the amalgamated free product $\widetilde{M}$. Note that we use now the malleable deformation (θ_t) from Section 2.5.

Since $P' \cap pMp = \mathbf{C}p$, by Lemma 7.1, we have $P' \cap p\widetilde{M}^{\omega}p = P' \cap pM^{\omega}p = \mathbf{C}p$. Let $t \in (0, 1)$. Put $\mathcal{A} = \theta_t(A)$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{N}_{\theta_t(p)\widetilde{M}\theta_t(p)}(\mathcal{A})''$.

Observe that $\theta_t(P) \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside $\widetilde{M}$. Since $\theta_t \in \text{Aut}(\widetilde{M})$, we get $\theta_t(P)' \cap \theta_t(p)\widetilde{M}^{\omega}\theta_t(p) = \mathbf{C}\theta_t(p)$, whence $\mathcal{P}' \cap \theta_t(p)\widetilde{M}^{\omega}\theta_t(p) = \mathbf{C}\theta_t(p)$.

By [19, Theorem 1.6], one of the following conditions holds true:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} L(G)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{P} \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$ or $\mathcal{P} \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \theta_1(M)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{P}$ is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside $\widetilde{M}$.

By Corollary 3.1, Condition (1) leads to $A \preceq_M L(G)$. We will show that neither Condition (2) nor Condition (3) holds.

Observe that since $\theta_1 \in \text{Aut}(\widetilde{M})$, we have $\mathcal{P} \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \theta_1(M)$ if and only if $\theta_{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$. So, Condition (2) leads to $\theta_t(P) \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$ or $\theta_{t-1}(P) \preceq_{\widetilde{M}} M$. Therefore by Corollary 3.1, Condition (2) always leads to $P \preceq_M L(G)$. Since moreover $P' \cap pMp = \mathbf{C}p$, we get that P is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M by Remark 2.5, which is a contradiction.

Finally assume that Condition (3) holds. Then there exists a $\mathcal{P}$ -central positive functional φ on the basic construction $\theta_t(p)\langle \widetilde{M}, e_{L(G)} \rangle \theta_t(p)$ such that $\varphi|\theta_t(p)\widetilde{M}\theta_t(p) = \tau|\theta_t(p)\widetilde{M}\theta_t(p)$. Define $\psi := \varphi \circ \theta_{-t}|p\langle M, e_{L(G)} \rangle p$. Then ψ is a P -central positive functional such that $\psi|pMp = \tau|pMp$, whence P is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M , which is again a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Theorem B. $\square$

Proof of Corollary C. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and let $A \subset M$ be an amenable regular von Neumann subalgebra. Since $\dim H_{\mathbf{R}} \geq 2$, $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is a nonamenable II_1 factor and so M is not amenable relative to $L(G)$. Since we moreover assume that M does not have property Gamma, we necessarily have that $A \preceq_M L(G)$ by Theorem B.

(1) Assume π contains a direct sum of at least two finite dimensional subrepresentations. Write $\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 \oplus \pi_3$, with π_1 and π_2 finite dimensional orthogonal representations. If $A \subset M$ is a Cartan subalgebra, we have $A \preceq_M L(G)$. Observe that for $i = 1, 2$, since $\dim \pi_i$ is finite, the free Bogoljubov action $G \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(i)})''$ extends to a compact group action $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(i)})''$.

It follows from [11, Corollary 4.2] that for any trace-preserving action $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright Q$ of a second countable compact group $\mathbf{G}$ on a nonamenable II_1 factor Q with separable predual, the fixed point algebra $Q^{\mathbf{G}}$ is necessarily diffuse. Since the free product of two diffuse von Neumann algebras is a nonamenable II_1 factor, we get that $L(G)' \cap M$ has no amenable direct summand. Since $A \preceq_M L(G)$, we have $L(G)' \cap M \preceq_M A' \cap M$ by [45, Lemma 3.5]. However, since $A' \cap M = A$, this is a contradiction.

(2) Assume π contains a mixing subrepresentation, that is, let $K_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a nonzero closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi|K_{\mathbf{R}}$ is mixing. Put $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. If $A \subset M$ is a diffuse regular amenable subalgebra, we have $A \preceq_M L(G)$, whence $A \preceq_M N$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6 and $M = \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$, Corollary 4.8 implies that $M \preceq_M N$. This means that $Np \subset pMp$ has finite index for some nonzero projection $p \in N' \cap M$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing, we moreover have $N' \cap M = \mathcal{Z}(N)$ by Corollary 4.3, whence $p \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$. Since $Np \subset pMp$ has finite index, Np is quasi-regular inside pMp (see e.g. [45, Definition/Proposition A.2]).

Since the inclusion $Np \subset pMp$ is mixing, we have $\mathcal{QN}_{pMp}(Np)'' = Np$ by Corollary 4.3. Therefore, $Np = pMp$. Since $K_{\mathbf{R}} \neq 0$, the tracial von Neumann algebra $\Gamma(K_{\mathbf{R}})''$ is diffuse. Choose a Haar unitary $u \in \Gamma(K_{\mathbf{R}})''$. Since $\Gamma(K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \ominus \mathbf{C} \subset M \ominus N$, we have $pu^k p = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, whence the projections $(u^k p u^{-k})_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ are pairwise orthogonal in M . Since M is a tracial von Neumann algebra, we necessarily have $p = 0$. This is a contradiction and finishes the proof of Corollary C. $\square$

Proof of Theorem D. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. Let $A \subset M$ be a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M and put $P = \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of projections $p \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap M)$ such that Pp is amenable relative to N inside M . We claim that $\mathcal{P}$ attains its maximum in a unique projection z . Indeed, by Zorn's Lemma,

let $(z_i)_{i \in I}$ be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{P}$. Put $z = \sum_{i \in I} z_i$ and $z^\perp = 1 - z$. We have $z \in \mathcal{P}$. For all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we also have $pz^\perp \in \mathcal{P}$. By maximality of the family $(z_i)_{i \in I}$, we necessarily have $pz^\perp = 0$, that is, $p \leq z$. So, z is the maximum of $\mathcal{P}$. Our aim is to show that $z^\perp = 0$.

Assume by contradiction that $z^\perp \neq 0$. Let $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Put $(Pz^\perp)_\omega = (Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp$. By [19, Lemma 2.7], there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{Z}((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp) \cap \mathcal{Z}((Pz^\perp)_\omega)$ such that

- (1) $(Pz^\perp)_\omega e$ is purely atomic and $(Pz^\perp)_\omega e = ((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp) e$.
- (2) $(Pz^\perp)_\omega (z^\perp - e)$ is diffuse.

(1) Assume that $e \neq 0$. Let $f \in ((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp) e$ be a nonzero minimal projection so that $f(Pz^\perp)_\omega f = \mathbf{C}f$. We have that Af is diffuse, regular inside Pf , amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M and moreover $(Pf)' \cap fM^\omega f = \mathbf{C}f$. By Theorem B, at least one of the following holds: $Af \preceq_M L(G)$ or Pf is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M .

Assume $Af \preceq_M L(G)$. Since $L(G) \subset N$ is a unital von Neumann subalgebra, we have $Af \preceq_M N$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6 and since Af is diffuse and regular inside Pf , we have $Pf \preceq_M N$ by Corollary 4.8. By Remark 2.5 and since $(Pf)' \cap fMf = \mathbf{C}f$, we get that Pf is amenable relative to N inside M .

Assume Pf is amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M . Since $L(G) \subset N$, we have that Pf is amenable relative to N inside M .

Thus in both cases, we always obtain that Pf is amenable relative to N inside M . By taking the central support $z(f)$ of f inside $((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp) e$, we get a nonzero projection $z(f) \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap M)$ such that $z(f) \leq e \leq z^\perp$ and $Pz(f)$ is amenable relative to N inside M . This contradicts the fact that z is the maximum of the set $\mathcal{P}$.

(2) Assume that $e = 0$ and so $(Pz^\perp)_\omega$ is diffuse. There are two cases to consider:

(2.1) Assume that $(Pz^\perp)_\omega \preceq_{M^\omega} L(G)^\omega$. Since $L(G)^\omega \subset N^\omega$ is a unital von Neumann subalgebra, we have $(Pz^\perp)_\omega \preceq_{M^\omega} N^\omega$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6, we get $Pz^\perp \preceq_M N$ by [19, Lemma 9.5].

(2.2) Assume that $(Pz^\perp)_\omega \not\preceq_{M^\omega} L(G)^\omega$. We now use an idea due to Peterson (see [31, Theorem 4.5]). Recall that (θ_t) is the malleable deformation introduced in Section 2.5. Since z is the maximum projection in $\mathcal{Z}(P' \cap M)$ such that Pz is amenable relative to N inside M and since $L(G) \subset N$, we have that $(Pz^\perp)p$ is not amenable relative to $L(G)$ inside M for all nonzero projection $p \in \mathcal{Z}((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp)$. Therefore, the deformation (θ_t^ω) necessarily converges uniformly to id in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $\mathcal{U}((Pz^\perp)_\omega)$ by Theorem 6.5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $t > 0$ such that $\|v - \theta_t^\omega(v)\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{U}((Pz^\perp)_\omega)$.

Let $x \in (Pz^\perp)_1$. Fix a $\|\cdot\|_2$ dense sequence $(y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ in $(z^\perp M)_1$. For every $n \geq 1$, there exists a unitary $v_n \in \mathcal{U}((Pz^\perp)_\omega)$ such that $\|E_{L(G)^\omega}(y_i^* v_n y_j)\|_2 < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Write $v_n = (v_{k,n}) \in \mathcal{U}((Pz^\perp)_\omega)$ with $v_{k,n} \in \mathcal{U}(z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|v_{k,n} x - x v_{k,n}\|_2 = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Observe that $\|E_{L(G)^\omega}(y_i^* v_n y_j)\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|E_{L(G)}(y_i^* v_{k,n} y_j)\|_2$ and $\|v_n - \theta_t^\omega(v_n)\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|v_{k,n} - \theta_t(v_{k,n})\|_2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Thus, for all $n \geq 1$, there exists $k_n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that with $w_n = v_{n,k_n} \in \mathcal{U}(z^\perp M^\omega z^\perp)$, we have:

- $\|w_n x - x w_n\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$;
- $\|E_{L(G)}(y_i^* w_n y_j)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$;
- $\|w_n - \theta_t(w_n)\|_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}$.

Observe that since $(y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is $\|\cdot\|_2$ dense in $(z^\perp M)_1$, we have that $\lim_n \|E_{L(G)}(c^* w_n d)\|_2 = 0$ for all $c, d \in z^\perp M$.

Put $\delta_t(y) = \theta_t(y) - (E_M \circ \theta_t)(y) \in L^2(\widetilde{M} \ominus M)$ for all $y \in M$. For all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad \|\delta_t(x)\|_2^2 &= \langle \delta_t(x), \delta_t(x) \rangle \leq |\langle \delta_t(w_n x w_n^*), \delta_t(x) \rangle| + \|w_n x w_n^* - x\|_2 \\
 &\leq |\langle w_n \delta_t(x) w_n^*, \delta_t(x) \rangle| + \|w_n x w_n^* - x\|_2 + 4\|w_n - \theta_t(w_n)\|_2 \\
 &\leq |\langle w_n \delta_t(x) w_n^*, \delta_t(x) \rangle| + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim. Let $a_n \in (M)_1$ be a sequence such that $\lim_n \|E_{L(G)}(c^* a_n d)\|_2 = 0$ for all $c, d \in (M)_1$ and let $b_n \in (M)_1$ be any sequence. Then

$$\lim_n |\langle a_n \xi b_n, \eta \rangle| = 0, \forall \xi, \eta \in L^2(\widetilde{M} \ominus M).$$

Proof of the Claim. Recall that $\widetilde{M} = M *_L(G) \theta_1(M)$. It suffices to prove the Claim for $\xi, \eta \in \widetilde{M} \ominus M$ words of the form

$$\xi = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2k} x_{2k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{2\ell} y_{2\ell+1}$$

where $k, \ell \geq 1$; $x_1, x_{2k+1}, y_1, y_{2\ell+1} \in M$; $x_{2i}, y_{2j} \in \theta_1(M) \ominus L(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$; $x_{2i+1}, y_{2j+1} \in M \ominus L(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq \ell-1$. We may moreover assume that

$$\sup\{\|x_{2i}\|_\infty, \|x_{2i+1}\|_\infty, \|y_{2j}\|_\infty, \|y_{2j+1}\|_\infty : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq \ell\} \leq 1.$$

Using the freeness with amalgamation over $L(G)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle a_n \xi b_n, \eta \rangle| &= |\tau(y_{2\ell+1}^* y_{2\ell}^* \cdots y_2^* y_1^* a_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2k} x_{2k+1} b_n)| \\
 &= |\tau(y_{2\ell+1}^* E_M(y_{2\ell}^* \cdots y_2^* y_1^* a_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2k}) x_{2k+1} b_n)| \\
 &= |\tau(y_{2\ell+1}^* E_M(y_{2\ell}^* \cdots y_2^* E_{L(G)}(y_1^* a_n x_1) x_2 \cdots x_{2k}) x_{2k+1} b_n)| \\
 &= |\tau(y_{2\ell+1}^* y_{2\ell}^* \cdots y_2^* E_{L(G)}(y_1^* a_n x_1) x_2 \cdots x_{2k} x_{2k+1} b_n)| \\
 &\leq \|E_{L(G)}(y_1^* a_n x_1)\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_n |\langle a_n \xi b_n, \eta \rangle| = 0$. □

Since $\lim_n \|E_{L(G)}(c^* w_n d)\|_2 = 0$ for all $c, d \in z^\perp M$ and since $\delta_t(x) \in L^2(\widetilde{M} \ominus M)$, the Claim yields $\lim_n |\langle w_n \delta_t(x) w_n^*, \delta_t(x) \rangle| = 0$. With the above inequality (8) and Proposition 2.9, we get

$$\|x - \theta_t(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|\delta_t(x)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon, \forall x \in (Pz^\perp)_1.$$

By Theorem 2.10, we obtain $Pz^\perp \preceq_M L(G)$, whence $Pz^\perp \preceq_M N$.

In both cases, we have $Pz^\perp \preceq_M N$. By Remark 2.5, we get a nonzero projection $r \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap M)$ such that $r \leq z^\perp$ and such that Pr is amenable relative to N inside M , that is, $r \in \mathcal{P}$. This contradicts again the fact that z is the maximum of the set $\mathcal{P}$. Therefore, we have $z = 1$ and P is amenable relative to N inside M . This finishes the proof of Theorem D. □

8. MAXIMAL AMENABLE AND MAXIMAL GAMMA EXTENSIONS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorems E and F. Moreover, Theorem E will be a consequence of the following more general result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $A \subset N \subset (M, \tau)$ be tracial von Neumann algebras such that M has separable predual. Assume the following:*

- (1) *A is amenable.*
- (2) *The inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through A .*
- (3) *The inclusion $N \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to A .*

Then for any intermediate amenable von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset P \subset M$, we have $P \subset N$.

Proof. Let $A \subset P \subset M$ be any intermediate amenable von Neumann subalgebra. Our aim is to show that in fact $P \subset N$.

Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through A , we have $P' \cap M \subset A' \cap M \subset N$ by Corollary 4.3, whence $P' \cap M = P' \cap N$. Denote by $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap N)$ the maximum projection such that $Pz \subset zNz$. Put $z^\perp = 1 - z$. Our aim is to show that $z^\perp = 0$.

Assume by contradiction that $z^\perp \neq 0$. Put $Q = Pz^\perp$. We first show that $Q \preceq_M N$. Assume by contradiction that $Q \not\preceq_M N$. Since Q is amenable and thus hyperfinite by Connes' result [8], we can write $Q = \bigvee_k Q_k$ where $(Q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of unital finite dimensional *-subalgebras of Q such that the inclusion $Q'_k \cap Q \subset Q$ has finite index for all $k \geq 1$.

Indeed, let $q_n \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ be pairwise orthogonal central projections in Q such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} q_n = 1_Q = z^\perp$ and

$$Qq_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0 \overline{\otimes} R \text{ and } Qq_n = \mathcal{Z}_n \otimes \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{C}),$$

with $\mathcal{Z}_n$ an abelian von Neumann algebra for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and R the hyperfinite II_1 factor. So, Qq_0 is the direct summand of type II_1 and Qq_n is the homogeneous direct summand of type I_n . For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $(\mathcal{Z}_n^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of unital finite dimensional *-subalgebras of $\mathcal{Z}_n$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_n = \bigvee_k \mathcal{Z}_n^{(k)}$. Regard $R = \overline{\otimes}_{j=1}^\infty (\mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}), \tau_2)$ and put $R_k = \overline{\otimes}_{j=1}^k (\mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}), \tau_2)$.

For every $k \geq 1$, define the unital finite dimensional *-subalgebra $Q_k \subset Q$ by

$$Q_k = \left(\mathcal{Z}_0^k \otimes R_k \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq k} \left(\mathcal{Z}_n^{(k)} \otimes \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{C}) \right) \oplus \mathbf{C} \sum_{n \geq k+1} q_n.$$

We have that $(Q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is increasing, $\bigvee_k Q_k = Q$ and moreover

$$Q'_k \cap Q = \left(\mathcal{Z}_0 \overline{\otimes} (R'_k \cap R) \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq k} \left(\mathcal{Z}_n \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{C})} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq k+1} \left(\mathcal{Z}_n \otimes \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{C}) \right).$$

Therefore, $Q'_k \cap Q \subset Q$ has finite index for all $k \geq 1$.

Since $Q \not\preceq_M N$, we have $Q'_k \cap Q \not\preceq_M N$ for all $k \geq 1$ by Remark 2.3. For every $k \geq 1$, choose $u_k \in \mathcal{U}(Q'_k \cap Q)$ such that $\|E_N(u_k)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{k} \|z^\perp\|_2$. Put $u = (u_k) \in \mathcal{U}(Q' \cap Q^\omega)$ and observe that $u \in (M^\omega \ominus N^\omega) \cap P'$.

Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to A , we have $(y - E_N(y))u \perp u(y - E_N(y))$ in $L^2(M^\omega)$ for all $y \in Q$. Since $yu = uy$ for all $y \in Q$, we get

$$(9) \quad \|E_N(y)u - uE_N(y)\|_2^2 = \|(y - E_N(y))u\|_2^2 + \|u(y - E_N(y))\|_2^2 = 2\|y - E_N(y)\|_2^2.$$

Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ large enough such that $\|E_N(u_k)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|z^\perp\|_2$. We get $\|E_N(u_k)u - uE_N(u_k)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|z^\perp\|_2$ and $\|u_k - E_N(u_k)\|_2 \geq \frac{3}{4} \|z^\perp\|_2$. This contradicts Equation (9).

Thus, we have $Q \preceq_M N$. There exist $k \geq 1$, a projection $p \in \mathbf{M}_k(N)$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in \mathbf{M}_{1,k}(z^\perp M)p$ and a unital normal $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow p\mathbf{M}_k(N)p$ such that $av = v\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in Q$. Write $v = [v_1 \cdots v_k] \in \mathbf{M}_{1,k}(z^\perp M)p$. In particular, we have $Qv_i \subset \sum_{j=1}^k v_j N$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, whence $L(G)v_i \subset \sum_{j=1}^k v_j N$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through $L(G)$ by Proposition 4.2, we obtain that $v_i \in N$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ by Corollary 4.3. Therefore $vv^* \in Q' \cap z^\perp N z^\perp$ and $Qvv^* \subset vv^* N vv^*$. If we denote by z_0 the central support of vv^* in $Q' \cap z^\perp N z^\perp$, we have that $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap z^\perp N z^\perp)$ and $Qz_0 \subset z_0 N z_0$.

Thus, $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap N)$ is a nonzero projection such that $z_0 \leq z^\perp$ and $Pz_0 \subset z_0 N z_0$. This contradicts the fact that z is the maximum projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap N)$ such that $Pz \subset z N z$. Consequently, $z = 1$ and so $P \subset N$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem E. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. The inclusion $N \subset M$ is weakly mixing through $L(G)$ by Proposition 4.2 and has the asymptotic orthogonality property relative to $L(G)$ by Theorem 5.2. This is now a consequence of Theorem 8.1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem F. Put $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $N = \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_{H \ominus K}} G$. Let $L(G) \subset P \subset M$ be any intermediate von Neumann subalgebra with property Gamma. Our aim is to show that in fact $P \subset N$.

Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6, we have $P' \cap M \subset L(G)' \cap M \subset N$ by Corollary 4.3, whence $P' \cap M = P' \cap N$. Denote by z the maximum projection in $\mathcal{Z}(P' \cap N)$ such that Pz is amenable. Since the intermediate von Neumann subalgebra $L(G) \subset Pz \oplus L(G)z^\perp \subset M$ is amenable, we have $Pz \oplus L(G)z^\perp \subset N$ by Theorem E, whence $Pz \subset z N z$. Put $z^\perp = 1 - z$. It remains to prove that $Pz^\perp \subset z^\perp N z^\perp$.

Denote by $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp N z^\perp)$ the maximum projection such that $Pz_0 \subset z_0 N z_0$. Our aim is to show that $z_0 = z^\perp$. Put $q = z^\perp - z_0$.

Assume by contradiction that $q \neq 0$. Let $\omega \in \beta(\mathbf{N}) \setminus \mathbf{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Put $(Pq)_\omega = (Pq)' \cap q M^\omega q$. Since $P' \cap P^\omega$ is diffuse, $P' \cap P^\omega \subset P' \cap M^\omega$ and $(Pq)_\omega = q(P' \cap M^\omega)q$, we get that $(Pq)_\omega$ is diffuse. There are two cases to consider:

(1) Assume $(Pq)_\omega \preceq_{M^\omega} L(G)^\omega$. Since $L(G)^\omega \subset N^\omega$ is a unital von Neumann subalgebra, we have $(Pq)_\omega \preceq_{M^\omega} N^\omega$. Since the inclusion $N \subset M$ is mixing by Proposition 4.6, we get $Pq \preceq_M N$ by [19, Lemma 9.5].

(2) Assume $(Pq)_\omega \not\preceq_{M^\omega} L(G)^\omega$. Since $L(G)$ is amenable and since $z \in \mathcal{Z}(P' \cap N)$ is the maximum projection for which Pz is amenable and $q \leq z^\perp$, a proof entirely analogous to the one of Theorem D, Step (2.2), yields $Pq \preceq_M N$.

Therefore, in both cases we obtain $Pq \preceq_M N$. Then the end of the proof of Theorem E yields a nonzero projection $q_0 \in \mathcal{Z}((Pq)' \cap q N q)$ such that $q_0 \leq q = z^\perp - z_0$ and $Pq_0 \subset q_0 N q_0$. This contradicts the fact that $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}((Pz^\perp)' \cap z^\perp N z^\perp)$ is the maximum projection such that $Pz_0 \subset z_0 N z_0$. Therefore, $z_0 = z^\perp$ and $Pz^\perp \subset z^\perp N z^\perp$. This finally yields $P \subset N$ and finishes the proof of Theorem F. $\square$

9. APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES FOR $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$

9.1. Complete bounded approximation property. We refer to [1, Chapter 12] for the notion of *weak amenability* for discrete groups G and the definition of $\Lambda_{\text{cb}}(G)$.

Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra. Following [9], we say that M has the *complete bounded approximation property* if there exist $\kappa > 0$ and a net $\Phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ of normal finite rank (completely bounded) maps such that

- (1) $\lim_n \|\Phi_n(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in M$.
- (2) $\sup_n \|\Phi_n\|_{cb} \leq \kappa$.

The Cowling-Haagerup constant $\Lambda_{cb}(M)$ is defined as the infimum of all values of κ for which such nets exist. By [1, Theorem 12.3.10], we have that $\Lambda_{cb}(L(G)) = \Lambda_{cb}(G)$ for all countable discrete groups G .

Theorem 9.1. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any compact orthogonal representation. Then $\Lambda_{cb}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) = \Lambda_{cb}(G)$.*

Proof. We obviously have $\Lambda_{cb}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) \geq \Lambda_{cb}(G)$. To prove the reverse inequality, we use techniques and results from [16, Section 3]. We may and will assume that $\Lambda_{cb}(G) < \infty$.

By [16, Corollary 3.14], there exists a sequence $\varphi_n : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ of finitely supported functions such that $\lim_n \varphi_n = 1$ pointwise and the corresponding unital trace-preserving *radial* multipliers $m_{\varphi_n} : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ defined by

$$m_{\varphi_n}(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)) = \varphi_n(r)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)$$

satisfy $\limsup_n \|m_{\varphi_n}\|_{cb} = 1$. Observe that since the radial multipliers m_{φ_n} commute with the free Bogoljubov action σ_{π} , we may extend m_{φ_n} to $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes G$ by the formula

$$m_{\varphi_n}(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g) = \varphi_n(r)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g.$$

We still have $\limsup_n \|m_{\varphi_n}\|_{cb} = 1$.

Next, since π is compact, write $\pi = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \pi_j$ and $H_{\mathbf{R}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ with π_j a finite dimensional orthogonal representation. For $p \in \mathbf{N}$, let $E_p : H_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ be the orthogonal projection and denote by $\Gamma(E_p) : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})''$ the unique trace-preserving unital completely positive multiplier (see [49, Section 2]) defined by

$$\Gamma(E_p)(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)) = W(E_p(e_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_p(e_r)).$$

Observe that since the completely positive multipliers $\Gamma(E_p)$ commute with the free Bogoljubov action σ_{π} , we may extend $\Gamma(E_p)$ to $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes G$ by the formula

$$\Gamma(E_p)(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g) = W(E_p(e_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_p(e_r))u_g.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\Lambda_{cb}(G) < \infty$, let $\psi_q : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a sequence of finitely supported functions such that $\psi_q(e) = 1$ for all q , $\lim_q \psi_q = 1$ pointwise and the corresponding unital trace-preserving Herz-Schur multipliers $m_{\psi_q} : L(G) \rightarrow L(G)$ defined by $m_{\psi_q}(u_g) = \psi_q(g)u_g$ satisfy $\sup_q \|m_{\psi_q}\|_{cb} \leq \Lambda_{cb}(G) + \varepsilon$. We may extend m_{ψ_q} to $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ by the formula

$$m_{\psi_q}(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g) = \psi_q(g)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g.$$

We still have $\sup_q \|m_{\psi_q}\|_{cb} \leq \Lambda_{cb}(G) + \varepsilon$.

Define the trace-preserving unital finite rank (completely bounded) maps $M_{n,p,q} : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ by the formula $M_{n,p,q} = m_{\varphi_n} \circ \Gamma(E_p) \circ m_{\psi_q}$. We have $\lim_{n,p,q} \|M_{n,p,q}(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and $\sup_{n \geq n_0, p, q} \|M_{n,p,q}\|_{cb} \leq \Lambda_{cb}(G) + 2\varepsilon$, for $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ sufficiently large. Since this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $\Lambda_{cb}(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G) \leq \Lambda_{cb}(G)$. $\square$

9.2. Relative Haagerup property. Let $B \subset (M, \tau)$ be an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras. Whenever $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ is a trace-preserving B - B -bimodular unital completely positive map, we denote $T_\varphi \in \langle M, e_B \rangle$ the unique bounded operator on $L^2(M)$ defined by $T_\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in M$.

Following [36, Definition 2.1], we say that M has the *Haagerup property relative to B* if there exists a net $\varphi_n : M \rightarrow M$ of trace-preserving B - B -bimodular unital completely positive maps such that

- (1) $\lim_n \|\varphi_n(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in M$.
- (2) φ_n is *compact over B* for all n , that is, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite trace projection $p \in \langle M, e_B \rangle$ such that $\|T_{\varphi_n}(1 - p)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$.

When M has the Haagerup property relative to $\mathbf{C}$, we simply say that M has the *Haagerup property* (see [6]).

Theorem 9.2. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any orthogonal representation. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) π is compact.
- (2) $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ has the Haagerup property relative to $L(G)$.
- (3) $L(G)$ is quasi-regular inside $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Since π is assumed to be compact, write $\pi = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \pi_j$ and $H_{\mathbf{R}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ with π_j a finite dimensional orthogonal representation. For $p \in \mathbf{N}$, let $E_p : H_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ be the orthogonal projection and denote by $\Gamma(E_p) : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ the corresponding unique trace-preserving unital completely positive multiplier. Let $m_{\rho_t} = E_M \circ \theta_t$ be the one-parameter family of trace-preserving unital completely positive maps which appeared in Section 2. Define $M_{p,t} : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ by the formula $M_{p,t} = m_{\rho_t} \circ \Gamma(E_p)$. Then $(M_{p,t})_{p,t}$ is a family of $L(G)$ - $L(G)$ -bimodular trace-preserving unital completely positive maps which are compact over $L(G)$. Therefore $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ has the Haagerup property relative to $L(G)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). This follows from [36, Proposition 3.4].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Denote by $K_{\mathbf{R}}$ the unique closed $\pi(G)$ -invariant subspace such that $\pi_K = \pi|_{K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is compact and $\pi_{H \ominus K} = \pi|_{H_{\mathbf{R}} \ominus K_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is weakly mixing. By Corollary 4.3, we get that

$$\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G = \mathcal{QN}_{\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G}(L(G))'' \subset \Gamma(K_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi_K} G.$$

Therefore $\pi = \pi_K$ and so π is compact. $\square$

Corollary 9.3. *Let G be any countable discrete group and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ any compact orthogonal representation. Then $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ has the Haagerup property if and only if G has the Haagerup property.*

Proof. Assume that G has the Haagerup property and $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a compact orthogonal representation. Write $\pi = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \pi_j$ and $H_{\mathbf{R}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{N}} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ with π_j a finite dimensional orthogonal representation. For $p \in \mathbf{N}$, let $E_p : H_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p} H_{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ be the orthogonal projection and denote by $\Gamma(E_p) : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_\pi G$ the corresponding unique trace-preserving unital completely positive multiplier.

Since G has the Haagerup property, let $\varphi_n : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a sequence of positive definite functions such that $\varphi_n(e) = 1$ for all n , $\lim_n \varphi_n = 1$ pointwise and $\varphi_n \in c_0(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Denote

by $\Phi_n : \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G \rightarrow \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ the corresponding trace-preserving unital completely positive maps

$$\Phi_n(W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g) = \varphi_n(g)W(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_r)u_g.$$

Then we have that $M_{n,p} = \Phi_n \circ \Gamma(E_p)$ forms a sequence of trace-preserving unital completely positive maps on $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ such that $\lim_{n,p} \|M_{n,p}(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ and the corresponding bounded operators $T_{M_{n,p}}$ are compact on $L^2(\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G)$ (see [23, Lemma 3.3]). Therefore $\Gamma(H_{\mathbf{R}})'' \rtimes_{\pi} G$ has the Haagerup property. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] N.P. BROWN, N. OZAWA, *C*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **88**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xvi+509 pp.
- [2] I. CHIFAN, C. HOUDAYER, *Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras*. Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), 23–54.
- [3] I. CHIFAN, T. SINCLAIR, *On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., to appear. [arXiv:1103.4299](#)
- [4] I. CHIFAN, T. SINCLAIR, B. UDREA, *On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups, II. Actions by product groups*. [arXiv:1108.4200](#)
- [5] M. CHODA, *Inner amenability and fullness*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 663–666.
- [6] M. CHODA, *Group factors of the Haagerup type*. Proc. Japan Acad. **59** (1983), 174–177.
- [7] A. CONNES, *Almost periodic states and factors of type III_1* . J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 415–445.
- [8] A. CONNES, *Classification of injective factors*. Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 73–115.
- [9] M. COWLING, U. HAAGERUP, *Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one*. Invent. Math. **96** (1989), 507–549.
- [10] K. DYKEMA, *Interpolated free group factors*. Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), 123–135.
- [11] R. HØEGH-KROHN, M.B. LANDSTAD, E. STØRMER, *Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms*. Ann. of Math. **114** (1981), 75–86.
- [12] C. HOUDAYER, *Construction of type II_1 factors with prescribed countable fundamental group*. J. reine angew. Math. **634** (2009), 169–207.
- [13] C. HOUDAYER, *Structural results for free Araki-Woods factors and their continuous cores*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **9** (2010), 741–767.
- [14] C. HOUDAYER, *Strongly solid group factors which are not interpolated free group factors*. Math. Ann. **346** (2010), 969–989.
- [15] C. HOUDAYER, *A class of II_1 factors with an exotic abelian maximal amenable subalgebra*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. [arXiv:1203.6743](#)
- [16] C. HOUDAYER, É. RICARD, *Approximation properties and absence of Cartan subalgebra for free Araki-Woods factors*. Adv. Math. **228** (2011), 764–802.
- [17] C. HOUDAYER, D. SHLYAKHTENKO, *Strongly solid II_1 factors with an exotic MASA*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2011**, no. 6, 1352–1380.
- [18] C. HOUDAYER, S. VAES, *Type III factors with unique Cartan decomposition*. [arXiv:1203.1254](#)
- [19] A. IOANA, *Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors*. [arXiv:1207.0054](#)
- [20] A. IOANA, *Classification and rigidity for von Neumann algebras*. Proceedings of the 6th European Congress of Mathematics (Krakow, 2012), European Mathematical Society Publishing House, to appear.
- [21] A. IOANA, J. PETERSON, S. POPA, *Amalgamated free products of w -rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups*. Acta Math. **200** (2008), 85–153.
- [22] A. IOANA, S. POPA, S. VAES, *A class of superrigid group von Neumann algebras*. Ann. of Math., to appear. [arXiv:1007.1412](#)
- [23] P. JOLISSAINT, *Actions of dense subgroups of compact groups and II_1 factors with the Haagerup property*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), 813–826.
- [24] V.F.R. JONES, *Central sequences in crossed products of full factors*. Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 29–33.
- [25] V.F.R. JONES, *Index for subfactors*. Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 1–25.
- [26] A.S. KECHRIS, *Global aspects of ergodic group actions*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **160**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. xii+237 pp.
- [27] F. MURRAY, J. VON NEUMANN, *Rings of operators*. IV. Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), 716–808.
- [28] N. OZAWA, *Solid von Neumann algebras*. Acta Math. **192** (2004), 111–117.

- [29] N. OZAWA, S. POPA, *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*. Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 713–749.
- [30] N. OZAWA, S. POPA, *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra II*. Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 841–866.
- [31] J. PETERSON, *L^2 -rigidity in von Neumann algebras*. Invent. Math. **175** (2009), 417–433.
- [32] M. PIMSNER, S. POPA, *Entropy and index for subfactors*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **19** (1986), 57–106.
- [33] S. POPA, *Maximal injective subalgebras in factors associated with free groups*. Adv. Math. **50** (1983), 27–48.
- [34] S. POPA, *Some rigidity results for non-commutative Bernoulli shifts*. J. Funct. Anal. **230** (2006), 273–328.
- [35] S. POPA, *Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups I*. Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 369–408.
- [36] S. POPA, *On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants*. Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809–899.
- [37] S. POPA, *On Ozawa’s property for free group factors*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2007**, no. 11, Art. ID rnm036, 10 pp.
- [38] S. POPA, *Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid, 2006), Vol. I, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2007, p. 445–477.
- [39] S. POPA, *On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 981–1000.
- [40] S. POPA, S. VAES, *Strong rigidity of generalized Bernoulli actions and computations of their symmetry groups*. Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 833–872.
- [41] S. POPA, S. VAES, *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*. arXiv:1111.6951
- [42] S. POPA, S. VAES, *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups*. J. reine angew. Math., to appear. arXiv:1201.2824
- [43] F. RĂDULESCU, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the von Neumann algebra of a free group, of noninteger index*. Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 347–389.
- [44] S. VAES, *Rigidity results for Bernoulli actions and their von Neumann algebras (after S. Popa)*. Séminaire Bourbaki, exposé 961. Astérisque **311** (2007), 237–294.
- [45] S. VAES, *Explicit computations of all finite index bimodules for a family of II_1 factors*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **41** (2008), 743–788.
- [46] S. VAES, *Rigidity for von Neumann algebras and their invariants*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Hyderabad, 2010), Vol. III, Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, p. 1624–1650.
- [47] S. VAES, *An inner amenable group whose von Neumann algebra does not have property Gamma*. Acta Math. **208** (2012), 389–394.
- [48] D.-V. VOICULESCU, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras*. Operator algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1132**. Springer-Verlag, (1985), 556–588.
- [49] D.-V. VOICULESCU, K.J. DYKEMA, A. NICA, *Free random variables*. CRM Monograph Series **1**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.
- [50] D.-V. VOICULESCU, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. III. The absence of Cartan subalgebras*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), 172–199.

CNRS-ENS LYON, UMPA UMR 5669, 69364 LYON CEDEX 7, FRANCE

E-mail address: cyril.houdayer@ens-lyon.fr